### Next Generation Protocol, (NGP);



# Nest Generation Protocol (NGP); Flexilink: efficient deterministic packet forwarding in user plane for NGP; Packet formats and forwarding mechanisms

<u>Disclaimer:</u> This DRAFT is a working document of ETSI ISG NGP. It is provided for information only and is still under development within ETSI ISG NGP. ETSI and its Members accept no liability for any further use/implementation of this Specification.

Non-published NGP drafts stored in the  $\underline{\mbox{"Open Area"}}$  are working documents, these may be updated, replaced, or removed at any time

#### Do not use as reference material.

Do not cite this dosimenter than as "work in progress".

The present document has been produced and approved by the Next Generation Protocols (NGP) ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) and represents the views of those members who participated in this ISG.

It does not necessarily represent the views of the entire ETSI membership. Approved and published Specifications and reports for implementation of the MEC system shall be obtained via the ETSI Standards search page at:

http://www.etsi.org/standards-search

#### Reference

#### DGS/NGP-0013

#### Keywords

3GPP access; core network; fixed network, flexilink; IP; MPLS; next generation protocol; non 3GPP access; NR; QoS; switching

#### **ETSI**

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

#### Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from: <u>http://www.etsi.org/standards-search</u>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <a href="https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx">https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx</a>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx

#### **Copyright Notification**

Reproduction is only permitted for the purpose of standardization work undertaken within ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restrictions extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2018. All rights reserved.

**DECT**<sup>™</sup>, **PLUGTESTS**<sup>™</sup>, **UMTS**<sup>™</sup> and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**<sup>™</sup> and **LTE**<sup>™</sup> are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

**oneM2M** logo is protected for the benefit of its Members. **GSM**® and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

### Contents

| Intelle | ectual Property Rights                      | 5  |
|---------|---|----|
| Forew   | vord  | 5  |
| Moda    | ıl verbs terminology                        | 5  |
| Introd  | luction                                     | 5  |
| 1       | Scope                                       | 7  |
| 2       | References                                  | 7  |
| 2.1     | Normative references                        | 7  |
| 2.2     | Informative references                      |    |
| 3       | Definitions, symbols and abbreviations      | 7  |
| 3.1     | Definitions                                 |    |
| 3.2     | Symbols                                     | 8  |
| 3.3     | Abbreviations                               | 8  |
| 4       | Packets and flows                           | 8  |
| 5       | IT service                                  | 8  |
| 5.1     | Service characteristics                     | 8  |
| 5.2     | Encapsulation                               | 9  |
| 5.3     | Payload                                     |    |
| 5.3.1   | Size  |    |
| 5.3.2   | Format                                      | 9  |
| 5.3.2.1 |   |    |
| 5.3.2.2 | $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$                  |    |
| 5.3.2.3 |   |    |
| 5.3.2.4 | 4 Aggregated flows                          | 10 |
| 6       | AV service                                  | 10 |
| 6.1     | Service characteristics                     | 10 |
| 6.2     | Packet format                               | 10 |
| 6.3     | Synchronous service                         | 11 |
| 6.3.1   | Slots                                       |    |
| 6.3.2   | Alignment of allocation periods             | 11 |
| 6.4     | Asynchronous service                        |    |
| 6.4.1   | General                                     |    |
| 6.4.2   | Alignment of allocation periods             | 12 |
| 7       | Carriage of one service in another          | 12 |
| 7.1     | AV packets as IT payloads                   | 12 |
| 7.2     | IT packets as AV payloads                   | 12 |
| 7.3     | Legacy packets as IT payloads               | 12 |
| 7.4     | Legacy packets as AV payloads               | 13 |
| 8       | Encapsulation formats                       | 13 |
| 8.1     | General                                     |    |
| 8.2     | Formats for continuous transmission         | 13 |
| 8.2.1   | Common elements                             | 13 |
| 8.2.2   | 1 Gb/s Ethernet PHY                         | 14 |
| 8.2.2.1 |   | 14 |
| 8.2.2.2 | $\epsilon$                                  |    |
| 8.3     | Formats for intermittent transmission.      | 15 |
| 8.3.1   | General                                     |    |
| 8.3.2   | IT packets in legacy networks               |    |
| 8.3.3   | Wireless links                              | 15 |
| Anne    | ex A (informative). Assessment against KPIs | 16 |

| A.1    | KPIs for Naming and Addressing            | 16 |
|--------|---|----|
| A.2    | KPIs for Performance                      | 16 |
| A.3    | KPIs for Mobility                         | 16 |
| A.4    | KPIs for buffering                        | 17 |
| A.5    | KPIs for Multihoming                      | 17 |
| A.6    | KPIs for Protocol and Energy Efficiency   | 18 |
| A.7    | KPIs for Security                         | 20 |
| A.8    | KPIs for Privacy                          | 20 |
| A.9    | KPIs for traffic Management.              | 21 |
| A.10   | KPIs for Interoperability                 | 21 |
| A.11   | Deployment effort                         | 22 |
| A.12   | Revenue opportunities                     | 22 |
| Anne   | x B (informative): Authors & contributors | 23 |
| Anne   | x (informative): Change History           | 24 |
| Histor |   | 25 |



### Intellectual Property Rights

#### **Essential patents**

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

#### **Trademarks**

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

#### **Foreword**

This Group Specification (GS) has been produced by ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) Next Generation Protocols (NGP).

#### Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the <u>ETSI Drafting Rules</u> (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

#### Introduction

ISG NGP is tasked with finding a system of packet routing that does not suffer from the problems operators have experienced with LTE and also optimizes the performance, efficiency, and scalability of new services proposed for 5G.

Internet Protocol (IP) is not fully able to meet these requirements for a number of reasons which have been widely documented. Many of the constraints that applied when IP was developed, around 1980, (such as memory size) are no longer an issue, while other considerations that are important now (such as mobility and latency) were not an issue then. Furthermore, back then all processing had to be done by code running on a CPU, whereas now many tasks can be done more efficiently by dedicated logic in a system-on-a-chip (SoC).

Most packets are part of a "flow" such as a TCP session or a video stream. Increasingly, there is a separation between the processes of deciding the route packets will follow and of forwarding the packets, for example in Software Defined Networking (SDN) and Control and User Plane Separation (CUPS). This is taken to its logical conclusion by specifying a system in which routing decisions are taken per-flow by control plane code, and per-packet processing is made simple enough that it can be implemented entirely in logic.

The system provides two separate services, an "IT" (Information Technology) service suitable for traditional statistically multiplexed packet data, and an "AV" (audiovisual) service providing the lowest possible latency for continuous media, not only audio and video but also signals used in industrial automation and newer services proposed for 5G such as tactile feedback. Implementing these two services and multiplexing them together on communication links is less complex than attempting to serve both kinds of traffic with a single service.

Can we find a better description for the two kinds of service than "AV" and "IT"? (Non-)deterrministic and time-(in)sensitive have been suggested. For IT, "best-effort" and "statistically multiplexed" are also possible.

The control plane procedures for managing flows are not specified in the present document. The system has been prototyped using the signalling messages specified in ISO/IEC 62379-5-2; messages containing similar information in other formats can also be used.



#### 1 Scope

The present document specifies user plane packet formats and routing mechanisms for 5G core and access networks, based on the requirements documented in NGP GS 012 and taking technologies from NGP GR 003 as appropriate.

It does not specify the control plane procedures for managing routes.

#### 2 References

#### 2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

#### [to do]

- [1] <Standard Organization acronym> <document number>: "<Title>".
- [2] <Standard Organization acronym> <document number>: "<Title>".

#### 2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

#### [to do]

[i.1] <Standard Organization acronym> <document number> <version number/date of publication>: "<Title>".

[i.2] etc.

#### 3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

#### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the [following] terms and definitions [given in ... and the following] apply:

[to do]

#### 3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the [following] symbols [given in ... and the following] apply:

[to do]

#### 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the [following] abbreviations [given in ... and the following] apply:

[to do, including ms and ls (most and least significant) and API (Application Program Interface)]

#### 4 Packets and flows

A packet shall consist of a payload and encapsulation. The payload shall be an octet string which is conveyed unaltered (apart from the effect of transmission errors and equipment faults) from source to destination. The encapsulation shall depend on the medium over which the packet is conveyed.

NOTE 1: Thus in many cases the encapsulation will change as the packet progresses through the system.

Each packet shall be associated with a flow.

NOTE 2: Management of flows is handled by the control plane, and is thus out of scope for the present document.

The action to be taken when a packet is received at a network element shall be defined by the flow with which it is associated; it shall not (except at a destination of the flow and as provided in clause 5.3.2.3) depend on the content of the payload.

NOTE 3: The route taken by the flow is established, and any required resources reserved for it, before the first packet is sent. This allows security checks to be made before any data is transmitted.

#### 5 IT service

#### 5.1 Service characteristics

The service specified in clause 5 is intended for the kind of communication between software processes that commonly occurs in IT systems. Transmission will typically be intermittent, so that reservation of capacity would be inappropriate and thus latency is undefined and packet loss due to queue overflow can occur.

Each flow shall have exactly one destination. It may have more than one source.

NOTE 1: A flow with more than one source would be similar to an MPLS Forwarding Equivalence Class.

NOTE 2: Multicasting of IT flows is not supported, to simplify implementation of the forwarding process. Typically, IT flows will be used in pairs, one in each direction, so that messages can be acknowledged and dropped packets repeated. Multicasting is supported by the AV service (see clause 6).

Switches may support facilities similar to those specified for IP networks to prioritize particular classes of traffic. Such facilities would be invoked by control plane messages (with the traffic class being a property of the flow and not signalled in packet headers) and are thus out of scope for the present document. Consideration should be given to whether they are necessary, or whether all traffic for which they might be useful can use the AV service.

NOTE 3: These facilities would add complexity, but could be useful for sharing out capacity in the context of network slicing, and possibly also for compatibility with legacy systems.

#### 5.2 Encapsulation

The details of packet formats, including the encapsulation, shall be defined separately for each type of link (see clause 8).

The payload should be preceded by a label which serves as an identification of the flow which is local to the link.

The encapsulation shall indicate the number of payload octets, either directly or by marking the start and end of the packet or of the payload.

#### 5.3 Payload

#### 5.3.1 Size

The payload of a packet transmitted by an endsystem shall be any number of octets in the range 1 to 2 000 inclusive.

- NOTE 1: The lower limit is much less than for Ethernet. Zero-length payloads are not supported because support for them has been found to increase complexity in the forwarding logic.
- NOTE 2: The upper limit supports tunnelling of maximum-size Ethernet envelope frames; per-packet overheads are minimal, so there is no incentive to support larger packets. A fixed upper limit eliminates the need for path MTU discovery.

Switching equipment shall support payload sizes up to 2 016 octets.

NOTE 3: That allows for up to 16 octets of inner labels.

#### 5.3.2 Format

#### 5.3.2.1 General

The payload of an IT packet shall consist of a user message and an integrity check.

The form taken by the integrity check shall be signalled in the control plane messages that set the flow up. The user message shall consist of all payload octets that are not part of the integrity check.

#### 5.3.2.2 User message

The syntax and semantics of the user message shall be negotiated by the sender and recipient as part of the process of setting the flow up.

The network shall treat the user message as an unstructured octet string, and shall not take any action that is based on the value of any octet in the string.

#### 5.3.2.3 Integrity check

Integrity check formats supported by the control plane messages shall include:

- No integrity check; all payload octets are part of the user message.
- Last two payload octets are coded with a nonzero value such that the sum of the set of 16-bit numbers derived as follows is a multiple of 65535. If the payload is an odd number of octets, a zero octet is inserted before the penultimate octet (i.e. at the end of the user message). Then, the payload is divided into pairs of octets, each holding a 16-bit number, with the more significant half of each number being in the first octet of the pair.
- Last four payload octets hold a CRC calculated as specified in clause 3.2.9 of IEEE 802.3-2008.
- Last four payload octets hold longitudinal parity, calculated as specified in clause 8.2.2.

NOTE 1: The integrity check covers the user message but not the header, which has its own integrity check, and is changed at each switching point.

The integrity shall be checked by the endsystem when the packet arrives at its destination. It may be checked at other points in the network, and the packet discarded if the check fails.

NOTE 2: In many cases corruption of a packet will be sufficiently unlikely that checking the integrity at intermediate nodes is not worth the effort.

#### 5.3.2.4 Aggregated flows

A flow may be created that carries a bundle of other flows, by prepending a label that identifies the flow within the bundle (the "inner" label") to each packet payload.

NOTE 1: This is similar to an MPLS "push" operation, but unlike with MPLS the existence of the inner label is a property of the outer flow and not indicated in the packet format; the format of the inner label only needs to be understood by the network elements at the ends of the aggregated flow, not by any intermediate switches through which it passes.

Integrity check fields within the payload should not be adjusted to include the inner label. The format of the inner label shall include its own integrity check.

NOTE 2: It will usually be appropriate for an aggregated flow to be signalled as "no integrity check", so that switches through which it passes do not perform any checks on the payloads.

#### 6 AV service

#### 6.1 Service characteristics

The service specified in this clause 6 is intended for continuous media such as digital audio and video. However, it can also be used for other traffic.

NOTE 1: If the AV service is used for file transfers, the data rate can be negotiated via control plane messages and packets will not be dropped due to buffer overflow, so the transport protocol can be greatly simplified.

Each flow shall have exactly one source. It may have more than one destination.

Each flow shall carry a defined number of packets per second, negotiated by the control plane protocols when it is set up, and should have resource allocated such that latency is bounded and packets will not be lost due to congestion.

The service on each link shall be either synchronous as specified in clause 6.3 or asynchronous as specified in clause 6.4.

NOTE 2: A synchronous service can achieve lower latency than an asynchronous service.

#### 6.2 Packet format

Each AV packet shall consist of a header and 0 to 63 payload octets.

The header shall be a single octet formatted as:

ms bit: odd parity

1 bit: flag f (see below)

ls 6 bits: n, the number of payload octets

The flag f shall not be used for routing, but shall be available for use by endsystems; if it is used to guide reassembly of longer messages, it should be set to 0 in the last fragment of a message and 1 in others.

NOTE 1: Unlike IT packets, the header does not change when the packet is forwarded.

NOTE 2: See clause 5.2.2 of ETSI GR NGP 003 for the rationale behind the payload size.

#### 6.3 Synchronous service

#### 6.3.1 Slots

Each link between network elements that is capable of carrying a synchronous service shall be formatted into "slots", and the slots grouped into "allocation periods". Each slot shall be 64 octets in length. The link may also carry symbols (e.g. framing) that are not part of any slot.

Each AV flow shall be allocated one or more slots per allocation period. The framing on the link shall show where each allocation period starts, and a flow's allocation shall be of the same set of slots in each allocation period.

An allocation period shall be nominally 0,499 84 ms multiplied by m, which shall be a power of two in the range 1 to 64 inclusive.



- NOTE 1: If m = 2, as in the system described in clause 5 of GR NGP 003, the minimum allocation for a flow (one slot per period) is 1 000 packets per second plus a tolerance for the source of the data having a clock that is up to 320 ppm faster than the reference used by the source of the frame timing.
- NOTE 2: As remarked in GR 003, the size of the routing table is proportional to the length of the allocation period (i.e. to m) and also to the bit rate on the link. In the case of the prototype implementation m = 2, the bit rate is 1 Gb/s, and the table has just under 2 K entries. On a 10 Gb/s link it would have 20 K entries with m = 2, 10 K with m = 1.

A slot that does not contain a packet shall be coded with f = 1 and n = 0.

NOTE 3: If *f* is coded as specified in clause 6.2, adding empty slots (which can occur when changing the transmission rate of an existing flow, or if a flow is routed between links with different values of *m*) will have no effect.

The content of the last (63 - n) octets of the slot is undefined as far as the synchronous service is concerned.

NOTE 4: However, it can be defined for other purposes; see clause 8.2.1.

The flow to which an incoming AV packet belongs shall be identified by the location in the allocation period of the slot in which it is received.

#### 6.3.2 Alignment of allocation periods

Network elements to which links carrying a synchronous service are connected shall maintain a fixed phase relationship between incoming and outgoing allocations, to within a defined tolerance.

When a link which can carry a synchronous service first comes up, negotiation via control plane messages shall establish whether the two sides have a common reference for frame alignment; if not, a further exchange of control plane messages shall cause the subnetwork on one side of the link to take its frame timing from the other. The control plane protocols used, and the process whereby the source of the frame timing is chosen, are out of scope of the present document.

AV flows shall not be connected across a link using a synchronous service until the phase relationship is stable.

NOTE: See also clause 5.3.4.2 of GR NGP 003.

#### 6.4 Asynchronous service

#### 6.4.1 General

Where it is not possible to route an AV flow over links that support the synchronous service, other services may be used. In that case, AV packets shall be encapsulated in IT packets as specified in clause 7.1, and if necessary the IT packets shall be further encapsulated as specified in clause 8.3.

QoS guarantees should, if possible, be negotiated with the network over which the flow is carried.

#### 6.4.2 Alignment of allocation periods

Network elements between which links carrying an asynchronous service are connected shall maintain a fixed phase relationship between incoming and outgoing allocations, to within a defined tolerance. The control plane protocols used, and the process whereby the source of the frame timing is chosen, are out of scope of the present document.

NOTE 1: In most cases, the tolerance will be significantly greater than for the synchronous service (see clause 6.3.2). However, it can be reduced by relating allocation periods to time as distributed by protocols such as PTP.

NOTE 2: See also clause 5.7.2 of GR NGP 003.



### 7 Carriage of one service in another

#### 7.1 AV packets as IT payloads

AV packets on links or subnetworks that do not support a synchronous service shall be carried in IT packets on an IT flow that is signalled (in the control plane) as carrying AV packets.

NOTE 1: If the synchronous service is not supported, the flow to which an AV packet belongs cannot be established by its position in an allocation period.

The payload of each IT packet on the flow shall consist of one or more AV packets.

The information relating to the flow shall specify the AV flow for each AV packet, the AV packets being identified by their position in the IT packet. It shall also specify how to establish how many octets each AV packet occupies, based on its payload length. The coding of this information, and the control plane protocols used to convey it, are out of scope of the present document.

NOTE 2: Options can include always carrying all 64 octets of the slot, or only carrying those octets specified in clause 8.2.1 as being foreground octets.

#### 7.2 IT packets as AV payloads

This clause specifies a method for carrying IT packets in an AV flow, for example to provide defined QoS to the IT flow.

The sender shall form a data unit by prepending the length to the IT packet payload. The length should be coded in two octets as specified in clause 8.2.2. The data unit shall be divided into segments, each of not more than 63 octets, and successive segments shall be transmitted in AV packets. The last segment shall be coded with f = 0, all others with f = 1. Packets coded with f = 1 and n = 0 may be sent at any time.

The recipient shall accumulate incoming data until an AV packet with f = 0 is received. It shall then check whether the length signalled at the beginning of the data unit corresponds to the number of data octets received, and discard the data unit if not.

NOTE 1: The flow to which the data unit belongs is identified in the same way as for other AV flows; thus, no label is included. If the flow is an aggregate of IT flows, the inner labels will be present but will be seen as part of the payload.

NOTE 2: The checking of the length is similar to ATM AAL5. If the IT packet payload includes an integrity check, that can also be checked.

### 7.3 Legacy packets as IT payloads

The format carried by an IT flow is signalled in the messages that set it up; these messages are out of scope for the present document, but should include code points for complete Ethernet packets, complete IP datagrams, and packets or datagrams with certain fields stripped at ingress and restored at egress.

NOTE: This provides a mechanism to tunnel legacy flows across a Flexilink network.

In the case of communication across a Flexilink network between an application running in a mobile device and a gateway to an IP network, as much as possible of the API should run remotely in the gateway, to minimize the size of headers sent over the air interface.

EXAMPLE: When using UDP via the Berkeley Sockets interface, a connect() call can be implemented as setting up a flow connected to the packet gateway, and send() can then be implemented by transmitting the data on that flow, with the packet gateway adding the IP etc headers for onward transmission on the IP network. Similarly, for recv() the gateway can process the IP etc headers and forward the data to the application. There can be a separate flow for each socket, or a single flow similar to an aggregated flow (see clause 5.3.2.4) with the socket handle acting as an inner label. These actions are not expected to be any more onerous than the Network Address Translation used in current systems. The flow is cleared down when close() is called.

#### 7.4 Legacy packets as AV payloads

This can be implemented as a combination of clauses 7.3 and 7.2.

#### 8 Encapsulation formats

#### 8.1 General

Any link that provides a continuous (or nearly continuous) full-duplex byte stream, such as a point-to-point copper or fibre link, should provide a synchronous service, transmitting a continuous sequence of frames as specified in clause 8.2 in each direction.

Other links should provide a service appropriate to the underlying technology, as specified in clause 8.3.

Control plane protocols should report the level of service that is provided to each flow.

#### 8.2 Formats for continuous transmission

#### 8.2.1 Common elements

#### 8.2.1.0 Common elements

A continuous sequence of frames shall be transmitted. Each frame shall include timing information as specified below and slots as specified in clause 6.3.1.

Timing information shall consist of the time by the sender's clock at which a particular event connected with transmission of the frame occurred, coded as an integer number of seconds and an integer number of nanoseconds, the latter being in the range 0 to 999 999 999 inclusive. If timing information is not available, the field should be coded with binary 1 in every bit. Any coding where the number of nanoseconds is more than 999 999 999 should be interpreted as "timing information is not available". The reference to which the timing values relate should be signalled as part of the process of setting the link up.

Within the frame, each octet shall be classified as either background or foreground. The background octets in successive frames shall form an intermittent octet stream which shall be used to carry IT packets.

Within each slot, the first k octets shall be foreground and the remainder background, where k is n + 1 rounded up to a multiple of w, n is as signalled in the header (see 6.2 above), and w is a characteristic of the link which is signalled as part of the process of setting the link up.

NOTE 1: Typically, w will be the width of the data path in the MAC logic, in octets.

NOTE 2: Specification of the process of setting the link up is out of scope for the present document.

#### 8.2.2 1 Gb/s Ethernet PHY

#### 8.2.2.1 Frame format

Each frame shall consist of:

- 2 octets: preamble, coded as hexadecimal 55
- 1 octet: start of frame delimiter (SFD), coded as hexadecimal D5
- 1 octet: frame type
- 4 octets: timing information
- 7 744 octets: 121 slots
- 40 octets: "trailing" background octets
- 4 octets: longitudinal parity

• 12 to 16 octets: inter-frame gap

Every 512<sup>th</sup> frame shall have its frame type coded as binary 0101 0000. All other frames shall have the frame type coded with 0100 in the ms four bits and the ls four bits 1 more (modulo 16) than in the previous frame.

The timing information shall be coded with the time at which the frame type octet was passed to the PHY, with the number of seconds (modulo 4) in the ms 2 bits and the number of nanoseconds in the remaining 30 bits.

Longitudinal parity shall be used only to monitor the quality of the link. Checking of the parity shall not delay or otherwise affect processing of data in the frame. Each octet of longitudinal parity shall contain the bit-reversed ones complement of the result of an XOR operation applied to every fourth preceding octet, not including the preamble or SFD.

NOTE: Longitudinal parity can be calculated with the same circuit that is used for the Ethernet FCS, by suppressing the feedback terms.

Where frame timing is taken from an external reference, there shall be a signal which shows when the next frame is to start, and gap octets shall be transmitted until that signal appears, subject to the restriction that there shall be at least 12 gap octets and not more than 16. The average number of gap octets shall be monitored, and the same average maintained (for example, using a binary rate multiplier) if the reference is lost.

#### 8.2.2.2 Background octet stream

The background octet stream shall carry IT packets and idle octets.

An idle octet shall be a single octet coded as hexadecimal FF, and shall be transmitted whenever there is no IT packet available for transmission.

An IT packet shall consist of:

- 2 octets: length, coded with the value l-1
- 2 octets: label
- l octets: payload (0 <  $l \le 2016$ )

The length and label fields shall each contain a 13-bit value followed by 3 bits which shall contain the ones complement of the remainder of the division (modulo 2) by the generator polynomial  $x^3 + x + 1$  of the product  $x^3$  multiplied by the 13-bit value.

- NOTE 1: If the bits are numbered from d15 (ms) to d0 (ls) then the ls 3 bits can be calculated as: d2 = not d13^d12^d11^d9^d6^d5^d4, d1 = not d15^d12^d11^d10^d8^d5^d4^d3, and d0 = not d14^d13^d12^d10^d6^d5^d3
- NOTE 2: The length fits in eleven bits, so the ms two bits of the first octet will always be zero; for an idle octet these two bits will always be ones.

The label shall be coded with a value identifying the flow; this value shall be chosen by the recipient of the stream and signalled to the sender as part of the process of setting up the flow.

#### 8.3 Formats for intermittent transmission

#### 8.3.1 General

Before two network elements begin to exchange Flexilink packets over a medium which does not support the continuous transmission specified in clause 8.2, they shall set up an association between them which is referred to as a "virtual link". The process of setting up a virtual link is out of scope for the present document.

In cases where this clause 8.3 does not specify a format for AV packets, AV packets may be encapsulated in IT packets as specified in clause 7.1 provided allocation periods are aligned as specified in clause 6.4.2.

#### 8.3.2 IT packets in legacy networks

An IT packet may be carried directly over Ethernet MAC or over UDP.

The Ethertype value in the former case, and the destination port number in the latter, are for further study.

The Ethernet MAC client data or UDP data shall consist of:

• 1 octet: hexadecimal 02

• 1 octet: hexadecimal 26

• 4 octets: timing information

• remainder: IT packet

NOTE: Other code points in the first octet are reserved. Other code points in the second octet are used for management of the "virtual link" formed by the path across the Ethernet or IP network.

The code point in the first octet is inherited from AES51; it is retained because removing it would mean the IT packet is aligned on an odd byte boundary.

In the Ethernet case, the packet shall have padding added if necessary, as specified in clause 3.2.8 of IEEE 802.3-2008.

The format of the IT packet shall be negotiated as part of the process of setting up the virtual link. It should be similar to the format specified in clause 8.2.2.2.

#### 8.3.3 Wireless links

This is for further study.



# Annex A (informative): Assessment against KPIs

### A.1 KPIs for Naming and Addressing

Names and addresses do not appear in packets, only in control plane messages which are out of scope for the present document. A wide variety of addressing schemes, and other ways of identifying the remote entity, can be supported.

#### A.2 KPIs for Performance

| ID   | Definition and rationale  | Metric  | Value  |
|------|---|---|--|
| Per1 | Does the scheme require the address to be encoded in every packet of a flow?  | Yes/no  | No   |
| Per2 | Latency: the delay between the encapsulation of application data into a network protocol datagram by the sending endpoint; the forwarding of those datagrams to the destination endpoint; and the subsequent decapsulation of the datagram to extract the application data. | Time (ms)   | Forwarding latency for the AV service is fixed for each flow and is typically less than 10 µs per hop. AV packets are maximum 63 octets, which places a limit on the time to fill a packet from data such as digital audio. Encapsulation and decapsulation of digital media can be done entirely by logic, eliminating software delays. |
| Per3 | Predictability/reliability: the ability of the protocols to deliver datagrams without loss or corruption; and to deliver datagrams in order as required.  | Lost/corrupted packets as a % of the flow total.  | No loss or corruption on wired links; frame format includes check on link integrity, allowing unreliable links to be taken out of service.  Performance on wireless links is for further study.  |
| Per4 | Jitter: any variation in latency over time. Lower jitter would indicate a more predictable network protocol.  | Standard<br>deviation from<br>expected<br>latency | Jitter on an AV flow is less than a slot time (512 ns for a 1 Gb/s link) if a single slot is allocated. If more than one slot, jitter is fixed; it depends on how evenly-spaced the slots are, and can be reported to the application when the flow is set up.   |
| Per5 | Prioritization: the ability of the network protocol to support both prioritization and non-prioritization when processing flows from different sources.   | Yes/no  | Yes: AV flows are delivered as scheduled, IT flows are statistically multiplexed.  |

### A.3 KPIs for Mobility

Details of handover between cells are for further study.

A flow U - R1 - S - R2 - E is rerouted as U - R3 - S - R2 - E (where S is a switch and Rn are routes which may pass through further switches) by: set R3 up; rewrite routing table entry in U and/or S; clear R1 down.

### A.4 KPIs for buffering

| ID   | Definition and rationale   | Metric | Value   |
|------|--|--------|---|
| Buf2 | Drop/queue support The ability of the protocol to request that the network either drop or queue packets under resource contention. | Yes/No | The AV service is a synchronous service so there is no contention at packet level and no queuing or packet drop. The IT service can support this feature in the messages that set flows up (out of scope for the present document) if required. |
| Buf3 | Queue occupancy support when choosing optimal route.   | Yes/No | Procedures for setting IT flows up (out of scope for the present document) are expected to support this.  |
| Buf4 | Support for configurable scheduling - queuing for a configurable time.   | Yes/no | As Buf2.  |

### A.5 KPIs for Multihoming

| ID | Definition and rationale   | Metric | Value   |
|----|--|--------|---|
|    | Do the protocols name the node, and not the network interface? This allows native multihoming and reduces complexity, improves scalability, load balancing and session continuity. | Yes/No | Procedures for setting flows up (out of scope for the present document) are expected to support this. |
|    | Do the protocols support aggregation of content from different sources, to provide resilience?   | Yes/No | Yes   |



### A.6 KPIs for Protocol and Energy Efficiency

| ID           | Definition and rationale               | Metric              | Value  |
|--------------|--|---------------------|--|
| PE1          | Protocol efficiency: The ratio of      | Application bits    | Up to 98.4% for AV packets (minimum is 50% for a   |
|              | useful data in the payload to          | as a ratio of total | single data octet; value is 80% for 4 data octets)   |
|              | overhead has a direct financial        | bits.               | Up to 99.8% for IT packets (minimum is 20% for a   |
|              | impact on communication links;         | Dito.               | single data octet; value is 50% for 4 data octets)   |
|              | More performant protocols will         |                     | Details of transmission over radio links are for further   |
|              | deliver a higher value per second.     |                     | study.   |
|              |  |                     | Study.   |
|              | NGP protocols should minimize          |                     |  |
| DEO          | header complexity and overhead.        | Nicosala a n. a.f.  | NI   |
| PE2          | Processing overheads: instructions     | Number of           | No overhead for AV packets.  |
|              | The number of instructions required    | processing steps    | Single-cycle lookup in routing table for IT packets.   |
|              | to process the protocol headers.       | (Integer)           | Where clause 8.2 is used there is also parsing of the  |
|              | If software, how many machine          |                     | byte stream to demultiplex the two services.   |
|              | instructions.                          |                     | Number of logic elements in the prototype  |
| DEO          | If logic, how many gates.              | D. t                | implementation tba.  |
| PE3          | Processing overhead: primary           | Bytes               | tba  |
|              | storage                                |                     |  |
|              | The size of the information to be      |                     |  |
|              | stored and processed. A higher         |                     |  |
|              | information size will use up more      |                     |  |
|              | memory bandwidth and buffer            |                     |  |
|              | space.                                 |                     |  |
| PE4          | Increase in space in routing tables    | Routing table       | tba  |
|              | An efficient protocol will minimize    | entry insertions    |  |
|              | increase in routing table size under   | following a         |  |
|              | multihoming, aggregation and traffic   | multihoming         |  |
|              | engineering.                           | event or a          |  |
|              |  | mobility event      |  |
|              |  | (Integer).          |  |
| PE5          | Connection establishment overhead      | Integer             | One, unless certain optional features (such as   |
|              | For connection-oriented protocols:     |                     | authentication, or requiring the user to agree to a  |
|              | How many round trips are required      |                     | charge) are invoked, in which case one and a half or   |
|              | to establish a connection. Note, the   |                     | two.   |
|              | latency of round trips should be       |                     |  |
|              | considered the same when               |                     |  |
|              | comparing two protocols/               |                     |  |
|              | For connectionless protocols: the      |                     |  |
|              | instructions required to bind the flow |                     | THE REPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN CO |
|              | to a sender/receiver.                  |                     |  |
| PE6          | Retransmission of already-queued       | Yes/no              | Not applicable; this is a feature of the next layer up.  |
|              | data                                   |                     |  |
|              | Endpoints should not retransmit        |                     |  |
|              | information which is already queued    |                     |  |
|              | upstream in the network path.          |                     |  |
| PE7          | Flow Control loops                     | Number of           | In the case of the AV service, congestion does not   |
| · <b>_</b> ' | Reaction to loss or resource           | network hops to     | loccur.  |
|              | contention is most efficiently done    | report and react    | If implemented for the IT service, this is a feature of  |
|              | at the point it occurs.                | to congestion;      | the next layer up.   |
|              | at the point it occurs.                | number of           | When a link is lost, flows can be rerouted locally.  |
|              |  | decapsulations      | Whien a link is lost, nows can be reloated locally.  |
|              |  |                     |  |
|              |  | required to         |  |
|              |  | detect              |  |
|              |  | congestion          |  |
| DEC          | Overhead of 1970                       | signals (integer)   | Net applicable this is the Country of the Country o |
| PE8          | Overhead of security: the              | Processing          | Not applicable; this is a feature of the next layer up.  |
|              | transmission and processing burden     | overhead, Bytes     | However, in some cases the ability to perform checks   |
|              | of encrypting, including the process   | overhead per        | before setting up forwarding plane routing may mean  |
|              | of securing a flow, decrypting and     | PE2 and PE3         | encryption is not required.  |
|              | integrity checking the application     |                     |  |
|              | bits                                   |                     |  |
| PE9          | Is header re-encapsulation and         | Yes/no              | AV packet headers are not modified.  |
|              | modification required, such as         |                     | Labels on IT packets are replaced at each hop, but   |
|              | checksum recalculation?                |                     | they include their own data protection so no   |
|              |  |                     | recalculation is required.   |
|              |  |                     |  |

### A.7 KPIs for Security

| ID   | Definition and rationale   | Metric | Value  |
|------|--|--------|--|
| SEC1 | Security by default  | Yes/No | Yes, though details of the mechanisms are out of scope   |
|      | Security achieved without overlays.  |        | for the present document.  |
| SEC2 | Crypto-agility for algorithms and key management independent of function invocation.  Whilst 'security by default' should identify a requirement for cryptoagility, this should be implemented in such a way that a change of the crypto solution should not impeded the functional capability of the NGP.   | Yes/No | Yes, though details of the mechanisms are out of scope for the present document.   |
| SEC3 | Reporting of security events to a recognized standard. The NGP shall ensure that events that impact the operation of the NGP by any form of attack (accidental or malicious) are reported in such a way that partner organizations can take action to prevent such attacks. This should follow the models of security incident reporting standardized in ETSI CYBER and associated bodies (e.g. to follow the STIX/TAXII framework and adoption of CERT guidelines). | Yes/no | Attacks are easier to identify and resist than with connectionless technologies; details of reporting mechanisms are out of scope for the present document.  Conformance to TC CYBER is for further study. |

### A.8 KPIs for Privacy

@TODO



### A.9 KPIs for traffic Management

| ID   | Definition and rationale  | Metric  | Value   |
|------|---|---|---|
| NET1 | Latency of traffic identification Traffic identification must be compared like-for-like, i.e. whether identification relates to the class of traffic (e.g. real-time service, download etc.), the provider of the traffic, or other criteria. The time measured includes any latency overhead incurred in connection establishment, and may also account for latency incurred in securing the communication channel if appropriate. | Time (ms)   | Typically < 10 ms. Traffic identification is signalled during flow set-up, before any packets are transmitted. Time required for flow set-up depends on the efficiency of the control plane software, the power of the processor it runs on, and the number of separate control plane entities involved.        |
| NET2 | Volume of data to be inspected for traffic identification Lowest volume of data in order to identify traffic in the early stage. (Different from latency which induces some processing of data) This includes control plane bits if used.   | Bits  | One bit, in the control plane message setting a flow up, shows whether the flow is AV or IT. Further fields in the message carry additional identification; details (including coding efficiency) are out of scope for the present document. All identification occurs before the first packet is transmitted.  |
| NET3 | Real-time traffic identification of traffic What is the latency incurred in identifying traffic classes?  | Time (ms)   | None. All identification occurs before the first packet is transmitted.   |
| NET4 | "Accuracy" in identifying the proper class of traffic Based on tests that compare the 'perceived' traffic class from the actual traffic class.  | Percentage  | 100 % - all identification is explicit.   |
| NET5 | QoS support and levels.   | Integer   | No limit other than link capacity and granularity of allocations: each flow has its own allocation.   |
| NET6 | Scalability of management policies The intention is to reduce the complexity to manage policies.  | Integer maximum<br>number of network<br>locations to apply<br>traffic<br>management | No management required for AV flows other than allocation of slots when setting up a new flow. IT flows are not expected to require traffic engineering as any flows with QoS requirements are expected to use the AV service. Any such facilities that are provided are out of scope for the present document. |
| NET7 | Capabilities of traffic management policies (i.e. expressivity).  | Integer, Number of operations and number of parameters per operations.              | See NET6.   |

### A.10 KPIs for Interoperability

| ID   | Definition and rationale               | Metric | Value                |
|------|--|--------|----------------------|
| INT1 | Ability to support TCP/IP applications | Yes/no | Yes; see clause 7.3. |
|      | via interoperability.                  |        |                      |
| INT2 | Interworking with 3GPP R15/16 with     | Yes/no | Yes.                 |
|      | minimal complexity.                    |        |                      |

### A.11 Deployment effort

| ID   | Definition and rationale                                      | Metric  | Value   |
|------|---|---|---|
| COE1 | Effort required for integration with existing infrastructure. | 1 = None<br>2 = Minor<br>3 = Major<br>4 = Not<br>possible | 2) Minor  |
| COE2 | Re-use of existing infrastructure.                            | Percentage  | Existing transmission links (fibres, etc.) can be used. For maximum benefit, new switches are required; however, transmission formats that can be supported by existing hardware are also possible though with reduced performance. Interworking with existing infrastructure is supported (see clauses 7.3 and 8.3.2). |

### A.12 Revenue opportunities

| ID   | Definition and rationale        | Metric               | Value  |
|------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| BBE1 | Business market needs:          | Textual (List)       | Support for lowest possible latency as standard. |
|      | Type of benefits the NGP        |                      | More efficient use of spectrum.                  |
|      | proponents expect to deliver to |                      | More secure: easier to resist attack.            |
|      | their possible Business         |                      | Easier to manage.                                |
|      | customers compared to           |                      | Lower power.                                     |
|      | existing solutions              |                      | Simpler, cheaper equipment.                      |
|      |                                 |                      | New business models, e.g. payment for            |
|      |                                 |                      | connection to media streams.                     |
| BBE2 | Business impact:                | Small/Medium/Large   | Potentially large.                               |
|      |                                 | How NGP is impacting |  |
|      |                                 | Business customers   |  |
|      |                                 | compared to existing |  |
|      |                                 | solutions.           |  |

## Annex B (informative): Authors & contributors

The following people have contributed to the present document:

#### Rapporteur:

Title, Firstname, Lastname, company

#### Other contributors:

Title, Firstname, Lastname, company



### Annex (informative): Change History

| Date | Version | Information about changes |
|------|---------|---------------------------|
|      |         |                           |
|      |         |                           |
|      |         |                           |
|      |         |                           |



### History

| Document history |           |  |
|------------------|-----------|--|
| V0.0.3           | June 2018 | Clean-up done by <i>editHelp!</i> E-mail: mailto:edithelp@etsi.org |
|                  |           |  |
|                  |           |  |
|                  |           |  |
|                  |           |  |

