***Disclaimer***

The present document has been produced and approved by the <long ISGname> (<short ISGname>) ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) and represents the views of those members who participated in this ISG.  
It does not necessarily represent the views of the entire ETSI membership.

ETSI GR ISG-PDL 001 v0.0.9(2019-10)

**Group REPORT**

Title; PDL Landscape of Standards and Technologies

Release #

*The GRs (ETSI Group Reports) are deliverables produced by Industry Specification Groups (ISG). GRs are written with the style of a Technical Report (TR), and represent the sole view of the ISG members.*

**The guidance text (green) shall be removed when no longer needed   
or the skeleton without guidance text also available via the editHelp! website should be used.**

<

Reference

DGR/PDL-001\_Landscape

Keywords

blockchain, gap analysis, state of the art survey

***ETSI***

650 Route des Lucioles

F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C

Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la

Sous-préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

***Important notice***

The present document can be downloaded from:  
[http://www.etsi.org/standards-search](http://www.etsi.org/standards-search#Pre-defined Collections)

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:  
<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

***Copyright Notification***

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2019.

All rights reserved.

**DECT**TM, **PLUGTESTS**TM, **UMTS**TM and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.  
**3GPP**TM and **LTE**TM are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and  
of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.  
**oneM2M™** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and  
of the oneM2M Partners  
**GSM**® and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Reproduction is only permitted for the purpose of standardization work undertaken within ETSI.  
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Broadcasting Union yyyy.

© Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique yyyy.

© Comité Européen de Normalisation yyyy.

© WIMAX Forum yyyy.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights*)* 5

Foreword*)* 5

Modal verbs terminology 5

Executive summary 6

Introduction *)* 6

1 Scope 6

2 References 7

2.1 Normative references*)* 7

2.2 Informative references 7

3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations 7

3.1 Terms*)* 7

3.2 Symbols 8

3.3 Abbreviations 8

4 Introduction to main areas of application of PDL technologies and role of standards 8

5 Current activities in standardisation:

5.1. International Standards Organization (ISO TC307)

5.2. CEN-CENELEC FGBDLT

5.3. ITU-T FG DLT

5.4. IEEE Standards Association

5.5. ETSI

6. Current Activities in research

7. Activities of professional initiatives and alliances

7.1. Opentimestamps

7.2. W3c

7.3 Alastria

7.4. Dutch Blockchain Coalition

7.5. Hyperledger

7.6. EEA

7.7. SEP

7.8. INATBA

7.9. Alliance for Internet of things Innovation

7.10 Industrial Internet Consortium

7.11. IETF IRTF

7.12. OASIS

7.13 SBS

7.14 OGC

7.15 FIG

7.16 One M2M

7.17 OMA

8 Highlights of PDL solutions and needs

8.1. Regulatory aspects

8.2. Ecosystem and EU-Market aspects

9 Enhancements and recommendations for further collaboration

Annex A: Title of annex*)* 12

Annex B: Title of annex 13

B.1 First clause of the annex 13

B.1.1 First subdivided clause of the annex 13

Annex <L>: Authors & contributors 13

Annex <L+1>: Bibliography 13

# 

# Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server ([https://ipr.etsi.org](https://ipr.etsi.org/)).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

# Foreword

*[ETSI Drafting Rules](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)* [(](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)*[EDRs)](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx),*

This Group Report (GR) has been produced by ETSI Industry Specification Group <long ISGname> (<short ISGname>).

The present document is part <i> of a multi-part deliverable. Full details of the entire series can be found in part [x] [Bookmark reference].

# Modal verbs terminology

*[ETSI Drafting Rules](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)* [(](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)*[EDRs)](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx),*

In the present document "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

# 

# Executive summary

[*ETSI Drafting Rules* (*EDRs)*](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)*,*

# Introduction

*[ETSI Drafting Rules](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)* [(](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)*[EDRs)](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx),*

Standards are everywhere and are playing a key role to protect consumers, workers and environment. Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technologies represent a key performance indicator for the Standardization Bodies and Organizations worldwide. First initiative was launched by ISO in 2016, as an initiative from Australian mirror Committee which conformed the [Committee ISO TC307](https://www.iso.org/committee/6266604.html) with the Scope “Standardisation of Blockchain technologies and distributed ledger technologies.

Following the aim of standardization at the European level, CEN-CENELEC conformed a [Focus Group](https://www.cencenelec.eu/news/brief_news/pages/tn-2018-085.aspx) for Blockchain and Distributed ledger technologies in 2017 which is under liaison with ISO TC307 and a [White Paper “Recommendations for Successful Adoption in Europe of Emerging Technical Standards on Distributed Ledger/Blockchain Technologies”](ftp://ftp.cencenelec.eu/EN/EuropeanStandardization/Sectors/ICT/Blockchain%20+%20DLT/FG-BDLT-White%20paper-Version1.2.pdf) was approved and published by CEN-CENELEC in 2018.

At United Nations level, the International Telecommunication Union is working very efficient with various Study Groups and related materials and it is relevant the [Focus Group](https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/dlt/Pages/default.aspx) on Application of Distributed Ledger Technology in May 2017.

There are also initiatives and programs which are focus on standardization like the Joint Initiative on Standardization under the [Single Market Strategy](https://ec.europa.eu/growth/single-market/strategy_en) which is a voluntary collaborative effort and does not establish any new legal commitments whereby Standards are key for innovation and progress within the European competitiveness. Basically, this Joint Initiative on Standardization sets out a shared vision for European standards in order to take steps to better prioritise and to modernise the current European Standardization system, as well as to strive for the timely delivery of standardization deliverables. It supports the relevant aspects of the ten European Commission’s Priorities and other policy objectives, while clearly respecting the distribution of different competences between the EU and the Member States.

The [European Blockchain Observatory and Forum](https://www.eublockchainforum.eu/) is an open project to create most comprehensive map of the European Blockchain ecosystem and as European Commission Initiative to accelerate blockchain innovation and the development of blockchain ecosystem within the EU and so help cement Europe´s position as a global leader in this transformative new technology.

There are also other alternative efforts related to the standardization of some properties that DLTs can provide which are considered within this GR like [W3C](https://www.w3.org/) or <https://opentimestamps.org/> .

# 1 Scope

The present document will identify current activities in standardisation and in research which are particularly relevant to PDL, with the goal of identifying applicable solutions, required enhancements and recommendations for further collaboration. As appropriate, activities of professional or non-profit initiatives will also be considered.

# 2 References

## 2.1 Normative references

Normative references are not applicable in the present document.

## 2.2 Informative references

# 3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

## 3.1 Terms

## 3.2 Symbols

## 3.3 Abbreviations

AML: Anti-Money Laundering

API: Application Program Interface

CEN-CENELEC: European Committee for Standardization and European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization.

DLT. Distributed Ledger Technology

EBP: European Blockchain Partnership

EBSI: European Blockchain Servie Infrastructure.

EC: European Commission

EFTA: European Free Trade Association

eIDAS: Electronic Identification, Authentication and Trust Services.

EIRA: European Interoperability Reference Architecture

ESSIF: European Self Sovereign Identity Framework

ETSI: European Telecommunication Standards Institute

EU. European Union

FIG: International Federation of Surveyors

GDPR: General Data Protection Regulation

ICO: Initial Coin Offering

ICT: Information and Communications Technology

ISO: International Standards Organization

ITU: International Telecommunication Unit

KYC: Know Your Customer

OECD: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development

PDL: Permissioned Distributed Ledger.

SG: Study Group.

SME: Small and Medium Enterprise.

STO: Security Token Offering.

TOOP: The Once-only Principle

TSAG: Telecommunication Standardization Advisory Group.

UN/CEFACT: United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business.

UNCITRAL: United Nations Commission on International Trade Law.

UNE: Spanish Association for Standardization.

# 4 Introduction to main areas of application of PDL technologies and role of standards

<<Editor Note: this is brief overview to ensure concepts are mentioned, other WI will cover specific use cases in detail>>

Distributed Ledgers Technology is by some categorized as a General Purpose Technology and as such can provide

benefits to a large number of applications across most industries. Applications that use PDL technologies will benefit

from distributed trusted databases with recorded verifiable transactions which can be automated to increase efficiency

and reduce costs.

Typical applications, industrialized and emerging, may be divided into horizontal applications which provide common

functions, and vertical applications that serves a more specific industry application typically leveraging one or more

horizontal application. Some examples below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **HORIZONTAL DOMAIN** | **VERTICAL DOMAIN** |
| Identity Management | eGov: Properties, benefits records. |
| Data Management | Healthcare: Health records, Prescriptions |
| Logistics and Supply-Chain | Industries: Energy Sector, Smart-Metering. |
| Security Management | Automotive and IoT: Supply chain, data integrity |
| Digital Evidence | Entertainment: e-Sport, Culture, Art. |
| Invoicing Management | Finance- securities trading, Trade finance, Micro-credits and remittance. |
| Crypto-structures and DAO | Utilities: Share records and trading |
| Contract Management | Media: Digital rights management |
| Currency Management |  |

The many initiatives have created a fragmented market and many reports states the lack of standards as a significant

barrier to adoption. Several initiatives are ongoing and examples of where standard can help include terminology,

interoperability, security, privacy, and data management.

# 5 Current activities in standardisation

<<Editor Note: this is re “who is doing what”, NOT a recapitulation and comparisons of 20 different specs and architectures>>

* 1. International Standards Organization (ISO TC-307)

ISO: ISO/TC 307 Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technologies since 2016 has 43 participating members and 12 observing members. It has [liaisons committees to ISO/TC 307 and from ISO/ TC307.](https://www.iso.org/committee/6266604.html) There are also organizations in liaison like European Commission, Enterprise Ethereum Alliance Inc, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers Inc, ITU, OECD, SWIFT, UNECE and International Federation of Surveyors.



Figure 1. ISO TC307- **STANDARDS UNDER DEVELOPMENT**

5.2. CEN-CENELEC FGBDLT

CEN-CENELEC: CEN (European Committee for Standardization) and CENELEC (European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization) are recognized by the EU and EFTA as European Standardization Organizations responsible for developing standards at European level. These standards set out specifications and procedures in relation to a wide range of materials, processes, products and services. The members of CEN-CENELEC are the National Standardization Bodies and National Electrotechnical Committees of 34 European countries. European Standards and other standardization deliverables adopted by CEN-CENELEC are accepted and recognized in all these countries. For Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technologies the Focus Group in 2019 will identify specific European needs and release a new version of its technical white paper for the successful implementation of Blockchain and DLT in Europe.

There are numerous standards under development within CEN-CENELEC and the strategy which is public consider between their pivotal highlights Digital transformation, International cooperation like task force with Gulf, India, Japan, China and Africa; seminars and workshops. Some of the interesting standards under development are: For Digital Society, CEN/WS 084 Self-Sovereign Identifier for Personal Data Ownership and Usage Control, CEN/CLC/WS SEP2 Industry Best Practices and Industry Code of Conduct for Licensing of Standard Essential Patents in the field of 5G and Internet of Things, CLC/TC108X Safety of electronic equipment within the fields of Audio/Video, Information Technology and Communication Technology, CLC/TC 209 Cable networks for television signals, sound signals and interactive services. For Mechanical and machinery mainly focus for safety and segments like entertainment technology and amusement park machinery and structures. For services CEN/TC 445 Digital Information Interchange in the Insurance Industry, CEN/TC 278 Intelligent transport systems. Recently CEN-CENELEC has approved liaison with ETSI ISG PDL and a new TC will act as mirror with ISO TC307.

5.3 ITU-T FG-DLT

ITU The Focus Group for Distributed ledger technologies (DLT) was established in May 2017 and concluded August 2019.~~.~~ A parent group is TSAG (Telecommunication Standardization Advisory group) the participation in FG DLT is open. Deliverables of the FGDLT can be found at <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/dlt/Pages/default.aspx>



Note: There are other Study Groups which are related to DLTs like SG 13 of ITU-T about Future Internet, the Work Item is Decentralized Network Infrastructure.

* 1. IEEE Standards Association

IEEE Standards Association is doing prospection in some areas with some projects for Blockchain and Distributed ledger with some report and documents that can be found herein <https://blockchain.ieee.org/standards>

* 1. ETSI

European Telecommunication Standards Institute: ETSI ISG PDL is the unique Working Group specifically working on DLT however there are others standards from ETSI that are usefully elements for DLT considerations.

# 6 Current activities in research

<<Editor Note: H2020 projects are often required to be proactive so if we contact them be prepared for introductory inputs etc. Maybe consider later a combined workshop >>

* H2020 projects A, B, C …(Activities from the European Commission related Proof of Concept for Distributed PKI, IoT interoperability Sofie,…) (Note: Add details from EC presentation at ETSI)
* University group XYZ
* European Blockchain Observatory and Forum which is launched in 2018 by the European Commission involving private stakeholders and public authorities in technical and regulatory discussions about the future development and applications of blockchain technology. Among its tasks, it will gather the best European experts in in thematic workshops on important subjects such as Blockchain and GDPR, o Blockchain innovation, and [produce reports](https://www.eublockchainforum.eu/reports), which will help European stakeholders to deploy blockchain based services in Europe.
* **End to End Billing with Smart Contracts in Wireless** **– Kings College London**

Our project investigates and proposes the methods to implement short-term dynamic mobile service contracts. One of the several advantages (which we have discussed in detail in our work) of the short-term contracts with the Smart Contracts and Permissioned ledgers, is that operator does not have any liability towards universal coverage. As the user can switch the operator where there is no or poor coverage or possibly the reasons for cheaper contracts, operator can manage the back-haul congestion through high price offering.

* **Blockchain Platform for Industrial Internet of Things – Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA (http://bit.ly/331Olq2)**:

A blockchain platform to develop dApps for manufacturers. This platform implements smart contracts on the blockchain. Smart Contracts act as agreements between the service consumers and the manufacturing resources to provide on-demand manufacturing service. This work is similar to our work to some extent as the manufacturing services are distributed and consumers access those services through Smart contracts. The smart contract plays important role here as the IoT are plug and play and they contact the associated smart contract and exchange their data, which is then transferred to the cloud storage.

* **Blockchain-based architecture for content delivery network – University of Luxembourg (**[**http://bit.ly/2MtEcNi**](http://bit.ly/2MtEcNi)**):**

A blockchain based content delivery network. In this work the benefits of B-CDN is demonstrated via edge-caching application and a caching algorithm is proposed. B-CDN improves user quality of experience and reduces cost of delivery content for the CPs.

* **Content Distribution Network: DECENT Project** - [**https://decent.ch/dcore/**](https://decent.ch/dcore/)

Hosting data that traditionally sit and are called from large data centres in smaller devices closer to users, with the aim of reducing latency, hence increasing speed especially for low bandwidth areas. A blockchain solution which customizable AND suitable for storage of large files, helpful particularly in creating a CDN where consumer can access the content from the node close to their proximity.

* **Blockchain initiated handoff in 802.11- Kings College London**

Initiating handoff between WiFi access points based on data sensed by the blockchain for the purpose of reducing handoff delays significantly and dispersing network traffic among local and reachable access points. Due to the high speed demands of 802.11 MAC layer protocols, a permissioned ledger proves more ideal in terms of speed and sensitivity of user profiles as it pertains to identity protection.

* **Communication and Consensus Co-Design for Low-Latency and Reliable Industrial IoT Systems.**

<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334558716_Communication_and_Consensus_Co-Design_for_Low-Latency_and_Reliable_Industrial_IoT_Systems>

Authors: Hyowoon Seo, Jihong Park, Mehdi Bennis, Wan Choi.

Designing a suitable consensus and communication protocol that meets the real-time needs of IoT based on speed of delivery and decision making.

* **SmartLog**

Kouvola Innovation, Tallinn University of Technology. <https://www.kinno.fi/en/smartlog>

Upon obtaining a grant from the European Union’s Interreg Central Baltic Program, Kouvola went on to utilising blockchain technology with the aid of IoT devices to work on real time physical device tracking. Streamlining Efficiency in Logistics with IoT. Related documents hint at the adoption of Fabric’s architecture.

* **Mobile Blockchain meets Edge Computing.**

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8436042>

Authors: Zehui Xiong, Yang, Zhang, Dusit, Niyato, Ping Wang and Zhu Han

Deploying the blockchain technology on the edge for faster processing of real-time IoT data. This project is based on a proof of work ledger and the solutions provided are thus based. However, a private faster blockchain might be considered for operations at the edge, as the identity of the participating devices must be known.

* **Decentralised Email.**

Swiftmail ([www.Johnmcafeeswiftmail.com](http://www.Johnmcafeeswiftmail.com)), Cryptamail ([www.cryptamail.com](http://www.cryptamail.com)), Gmelius mail (<https://gmelius.com/email-stamping-blockchain.pdf>).

Implements a 256-bit end to end encryption for data protection. Gmelius utilises Ethereum blockchain to ensure the integrity of received emails by proving the source and chain of delivery of the emails. Although this is deployed on a public chain, from the documentation, it is clear that it is solely done due to the level of security the size of the chain possesses based on its reliance on proof of work.

# Activities of professional initiatives and alliances

7.1. Opentimestamps: <https://opentimestamps.org/>

This is a relevant jointly initiative for a Timestamping Proof Standard, accordingly with their focus to prove that some data existed prior to some point in time. OpenTimestamps defines a set of operations for creating provable timestamps and later independently verifying them.

The exploration of this open source initiative is bringing to a key attribute for trust on the DLT system which is very easily compatible for hybrid and permissioned distributed ledger systems, a variety of tools on JAVA, RUST, PYTHON and JAVASCRIPT.

7.2. W3C: [W3C](https://www.w3.org/)

World Wide Web Consortium is a well known international community where a diverse of members deploy together Web Standards, between other initiatives within this organization, last version about [Verifiable Credentials Data Model](https://www.w3.org/TR/2019/WD-verifiable-claims-data-model-20190208/) is published which is a standardization effort with relevant commonalities for identity management on distributed ledger technologies. There is also an open repository for technical specifications at github herein <https://github.com/w3c/vc-data-model/issues>

7.3. Alastria: <https://alastria.io/en/>

Alastria is a non-profit association that promotes the digital economy. It is a framework for networks based on Public Permissioned Distributed Ledgers. Public and Private sector and governmental administrative bodies are composing a whole economic coverage on Distributed Ledger Initiatives which compete and cooperate between their members to help the harmonization of Standards and regulation with their Use Cases. The Association has presented at UNE a proposal of “de-facto” standard implemented on Alastria, the new work item was accepted and it is under revision by UNE CTN 71/SC 307/GT1 for a Decentralized Model of Identity.

7.4. Dutch Blockchain Coalition (Private Public Partnership Germany): <https://dutchblockchaincoalition.org/en>

7.5. Hyperledger Project: <https://www.hyperledger.org/>

Hyperledger is the leader of private permissioned distributed ledger initiatives with Hyperledger Fabric but it is also a combination with other tools and functionalities which are impacting for interoperability with Permissionless Distributed Ledgers and Public Permissioned Distributed Ledgers. It is a well-organized charter by Lynux Foundation. It has got a variety of projects available which incubates and promotes for a business blockchain technologies industry, in Permissioned Distributed Ledgers: Burrow for permissionable smart contracts machine, Fabric with a range of use cases from finance to supply-chain, Indy for a decentralized identity management, Iroha a consensus with multi-signature support or Swatooth with a Proof of Elapse Time with the aim of a minimal resource consumption. It is also noted their libraries like Aries, Quilt or Transact between others and some tools for ledger independent implemtation.

* 1. . EEA: [Enterprise Ethereum Alliance Inc](https://entethalliance.org/).

Enterprise Ethereum Alliance is a member-driven standards organization whose charter is developing open, blockchain specifications that facilitate harmonization and interoperability for business and consumers worldwide. It is a complete community with key players in the industry cooperating on specifications under working groups leadership and some of their publications are interesting like Telecommunications Use cases, Real Estate Use Case Overview and a Token Taxonomy Initiative Flyer.

7.7.SEP: Common denominator with SEP (Standards Essential Patent) Landscape <http://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/landscaping-study-standard-essential-patents-europe-0_en>

7.8. INATBA: [https://inatba.org](https://inatba.org/)

The International Association for Trusted Blockchain Applications was founded in April 2019 and is organically under coordination and establishment of various Working Groups and liaisons with Standards Developing Bodies. It is well organized and closely connected in this inception with the European Commission and European Blockchain Observatory and Forum perspective. It is actively promoting the dialogue with policy makers and public administrative bodies, and connected the private sector envisioned for the European Blockchain Service Infrastructure:

* 1. Alliance for Internet of things Innovation: <https://aioti.eu/>

It is an Alliance focus for a five years period until 2024 with the vision on the European Union policies and in particular within the work with the programme of the next European Commission. Some of their key activities are focus to leveraging IoT data, enabling cross-sectoral data marketplaces. The objective of this Association is to enhance innovation and economic development in the Internet of Things in Europe with a non-profit aim.

* 1. Industrial Internet Consortium: <https://www.iiconsortium.org/>

It is a Global Not-For Profit Partnership of industry, government and Academia, it was founded in March 2014 to bring the organizations and technologies necessary to accelerate the growth of the industrial internet by identifying assembling, testing and promoting best practices.

* 1. IETF IRTF:

A Research Group is in formation in the IRTF on the topic of Decentralized Internet Infrastructure (DIN). The Decentralized Internet Infrastructure Research Group (DINRG) will investigate open research issues in decentralizing infrastructure services such as trust management, identity management, name resolution, resource/asset ownership management, and resource discovery. The focus of DINRG is on infrastructure services that can benefit from decentralization or that are difficult to realize in local, potentially connectivity-constrained networks. Other topics of interest are the investigation of economic drivers and incentives and the development and operation of experimental platforms. DINRG will operate in a technology- and solution-neutral manner, i.e., while the RG has an interest in distributed ledger technologies, it is not limited to specific technologies or implementation aspects. More details of the DIN RG are available. <https://trac.ietf.org/trac/iab/wiki/Multi-Stake-Holder-Platform#Ledger>

* 1. OASIS: [OASIS:](https://www.oasis-open.org/standards)

OASIS is non-profit consortium that drives the development, convergence and adoption of open standards for the global information society. The consortium has more than 2000 participants representing over 600 organizations and individual members in more than 65 countries.

Existing OASIS standards projects with e-commerce applications are being applied to define blockchain-based serialization methods, as alternative representations of their content (such as e-invoices).

* 1. SBS: <https://www.sbs-sme.eu/>

Small Business Standards: was established on 25th October 2013 and it is an international non-profit association, in line with Regulation 1025/2012 on the European Standardisation System. Its mission is represent the interest of 12 million SMEs in the standardisation process, raise their-awareness about standardisation and facilitating their uptake of standards, and motivate them to engage in the standardisation process.

* 1. OGC:

Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC): announced the creation of a new Domain Working Group for Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technologies. In October 2018, OGC published a Discussion Paper “Geospatial Standardization of Distributed Ledger Technologies with the purpose of improving the understanding of Blockchain and distributed ledger technologies. <http://www.opengeospatial.org/projects/groups/bdltdwg>

* 1. FIG: <http://www.fig.net/>

International Federation of Geomatics (FIG). It is the international organization representing the interests of surveyors worldwide. It is a federation of the national member associations and covers the whole range of professional fields within the global surveying, geomatics, geodesy and geo-information community. It wants to keep, and even improve, its role as the premier non-governmental organisation that represents the interests of surveyors worldwide. Members are associations, affiliates, corporate members and academic members. It is structurally organized on Commissions.

FIG Commission 9 on Valuation and Management of Real Estate and FIG Commission 7 on Cadastre and Land Management are the two groups looking into implications on Blockchain and DLTs.

* 1. One M2M: <http://www.onem2m.org/>

OneM2M, it deploys standards for Machine-to-Machine and the Internet of Things, it is almost 200 members. The purpose and goal is to develop technical specifications which for a common M2M Service Layer that can be embedded within various hardware and software, and relied upon to connect the devices in the field with M2M application servers worldwide.

* 1. OMA:

Open Mobile Alliance, it deploys specification and promoting standards in mobile and internet of things technology development, in particular APIs it is a part of components with DLT´s scenarios, and OMA has got an interesting API Inventory. <https://www.openmobilealliance.org/wp/API_Inventory.html>

# Highlights of PDL solutions and needs

* 1. Regulatory Aspects

There are a number of existing laws that are applicable to DLT like KYC (Know Your Customer) and AMl (Anti-Money Laundering) requirements, at the same time there are initiatives from countries to include exemptions or benefit to startups using DLT like Switzerland or the sandbox rule in Swiss banking law. France and Germany proposed to introduce a uniform regulation of DLT in 2018 at G20 summit but did not convince the G20 for a suitable law. A number of countries are running recommendations from their central banks and other regulatory authorities which increase the proliferation of needs for a legal framework with no uncertainty. For pioneering countries which start DLT-specific legislation. Legislators are more focus on ICOs and STOs and financial regulation. CFT (Counter-financing of Terrorism or Combating the financing terrorism) involves investigating, analysing, deterring and preventing sources of funding activities for political achievement, religious or ideological goals thru violence. For financial industry there are a number of risks identified mainly for Cryptocurrencies.

On regards on the Node operators and within telecommunication law the instrument called “provider privilege” in Europe it has been defined as per directive 2000/31/EC in particular with the liability of intermediary service providers in Section 4, article 12:

‘Mere conduit’

*1. Where an information society service is provided that consists of the transmission in a communication*

*network of information provided by a recipient of the service, or the*

*provision of access to a communication network, Member States shall ensure that the service provider is*

*not liable for the information transmitted, on condition that the provider:*

*(a) does not initiate the transmission;*

*(b) does not select the receiver of the transmission; and*

*(c) does not select or modify the information contained in the transmission*

Legal liability within permissioned and access restricted DLT systems, to preserve the trust in the immutability, a node operator should not be forced to delete some part of a DLT system even when it is known to be in conflict with the law. Conflicts arise for copyrights, trademarks, privacy, antitrust or unfair competition which in public blockchains these are conflicts indeed. There are some existing laws for instance in Data protection for personal data like GDPR and other countries It is a recommended practice to deal a PIA, Privacy Impact Assessment to assists organizations in identifying and minimizing the privacy risks.

In trade and logistic it is relevant the UN/CEFACT which is preparing a White Paper on Blockchain, and UNCITRAL environment is ideal to conferred multijurisdictional approach.

Government services are increasingly utilizing DLT to provide trust services, e-government initiatives are enhancing their frameworks, for instance in Europe exists TOOP which is a pilot for interoperability. Anticipation is a relevant factor a new design with Policy Enforcement Points that are distributed among governed network. These areas can harmonize better data minimization and use limitation of data.

Regulation on electronic identification and trust services, there are a number of laws for digital signatures, electronic certificates and identification which sometimes are not neutral or consolidate a common denominator globally. eIDAS is a proper framework which is extensively improving these aspects.

Smart Contracts enforceability is other back-bone in permissioned distributed ledger systems.

Competition Law and Anti-Trust policies are a relevant part for regulatory areas and policy makers.

Conformance and compatible chip-sets and other components are also a compliance needs for a multijurisdictional framework. Hybrid ecosystems brings even new challenges in this sense, where multiple actors with different components can interoperate between them, safety of human beings is a public good that implies at many industries the perseverance in controlling and stewardship gives some ability to resolve clearance.

It is also of importance the common evolving of Sandboxes in different countries to granted a secured testing environment with the allowance of discoverability and improving the legal innovation and experimentation.

* 1. Ecosystem and EU-Market aspects:

[European Blockchain Partnership](https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/european-countries-join-blockchain-partnership) (EBP) was launched on the 10th April 2018 with the aim to develop a trusted, secure and resilient European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI) meeting the highest standards in terms of privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability and energy efficiency, as well as fully complaint with EU law. The European Blockchain Partnership will also develop a set of Guiding Principles and Specifications for the EBSI (European Blockchain Service Infrastructure) that will be enhanced to be recognised as a reference for development of Blockchain infrastructures and will propose a model to describe the overall policy and technical governance of the EBSI. Various organic development are managing different aspects like EIRA (European Interoperability Reference Architecture) and ESSIF (European Self-Sovereign Identify Framework). Some pilots are under deployment and will trace the state of the art for the EBP.

ICT Standardization priorities for the Digital Single Market is an indicator to overview the EU-Market development: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/communication-ict-standardisation-priorities-digital-single-market>

eIDAS regulation is the framework of preeminent success in Europe and an intrinsic part of the European Ecosystem.

special requirements of verticals: (data and additional requirements for mobility for instance,…)

comparison of solutions according to above aspects

# 9 Enhancements and recommendations for further collaboration


Technical collaborations to be considered: CEN-CENELEC, ISO TC307, ITU-T FG DLT, W3C.

Policy and ecosystem collaborations needed: OECD, EBP, EBSI, ESSIF, EIRA, INATBA, UN/CEFACT and UNCITRAL

Timelines of external organisations/events and their impact on collaborations: <https://www.gsma.com/> , <http://www.opengeospatial.org/>

Annex A:  
Ledger Data Structures:

ITU-T FG DLT, previously described within the point 5.3 of this report, has published their recommendations and deliverables are published, between them at the document described on the NOTE to the table bellow it is based on a detailed study of the Focus Group on Distributed Ledger Technologies and their Applications and at an overview for ledger data structures in use.



NOTE. Table published by ITU-T Focus Group on Application of DLT within its Technical Report FG DLT D5 OUTLOOK on Distributed Ledger Technologies.

Annex B:  
Title of annex

# B.1 First clause of the annex

## B.1.1 First subdivided clause of the annex

<Text>.

<PAGE BREAK>

Annex <L>:  
Authors & contributors

The following people have contributed to the present document:

**Rapporteur**:  
Title, Firstname, Lastname, company

**Other contributors**:Title, Firstname, Lastname, company

<PAGE BREAK>

Annex <L+1>:  
Bibliography *(style H9)*

The "Bibliography" annex identifies additional reading material not mentioned anywhere in an ETSI deliverable including annexes. These publications might or might not be publicly available (no check is made by the Secretariat).

The Bibliography **shall include** list of standards, books, articles, or other sources on a particular subject which are not cited anywhere in an ETSI deliverable including annexes.

The Bibliography **shall not include** *documents listed in clauses 2.1 and 2.2.*

*[ETSI Drafting Rules](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)* [(](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx)*[EDRs)](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx),* clause 2.14.

* Use **Heading 9** style for the "Bibliography" annex, see clause 2.13 of the [EDRs](https://portal.etsi.org/Services/editHelp!/Howtostart/ETSIDraftingRules.aspx) for examples.
* For the listed material use the **Normal** style or bulleted lists (e.g. **B1+**), do not use numbered references.

*EXAMPLE 1:*

*<*Publication*>*:"*<*Title*>".<*Edition*>*. *<*Year*>*, *<*Issue designation*>*, *<*Page location*>*. *(style Normal)*

WEAVER, William. "Command performances". December 1985, vol. 42, n° 12, p. 126-133). *(style Normal)*

*EXAMPLE 2:*

* <Publication>: "<Title>". *(style B1+)*
* ETSI EN 300 066: "ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and Radio Spectrum Matters (ERM); Float-free maritime satellite Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons (EPIRBs) operating in the 406,0 MHz to 406,1 MHz frequency band; Technical characteristics and methods of measurement". *(style B1+)*

<PAGE BREAK>