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### B.38 RelevanceDistance

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Description | The distance in which event information is relevant for the receiving ITS-S, starting from the event position or from any of the event history points as defined in clause 6.1.3.1 |
| Data setting and presentation requirements | This DE is OPTIONAL. It shall be present when the information is required by  the ITS application.  This DE shall be presented as specified in ETSI TS 102 894-2 [5]  *RelevanceDistance.* |

6.1.3.1 DENM relevance area  
A DENM should be disseminated to as many ITS-Ss as possible located in an area of relevance, denoted as relevance  
area. This includes ITS-Ss entering the relevance area until the validityDuration and ITS-Ss that have no connectivity to  
the originating ITS-S when the DENM is transmitted.  
The relevance area is set by the ITS-S application of the originating ITS-S and shall be included in the DENM when the  
information is available. A receiving ITS-S may make use of the relevance area information to realize the relevance  
check.  
According to the event type and the event location, the size and the shape of the relevance area varies. In the present  
document, following information shall be used as the relevance area information:

* relevanceDistance: The distance within which the event is considered relevant to the receiving ITS-S.
* relevanceTrafficDirection: The traffic direction along which the receiving ITS-Ss may encounter the event.  
  Therefore, it is also the direction along which the DENM should be disseminated. As an example, for an  
  accident on a motorway, the relevant traffic direction of a DENM related to the event may be the upstream  
  direction of the accident location. While for the accident occurred in rural two-way roads, the  
  relevanceTrafficDirection may be both traffic directions (including also the opposite carriageway).

The relevanceDistance and the relevanceTrafficDirection shall be as specified in Annex A.

Note: If a DENM contains an eventHistory DF, multiple relevance areas exist. One area is located at the eventPosition DF and each point in the eventHistory DF creates an additional, individual relevance area.

8.3.2.7 DENM reconstruction  
When a DENM is being forwarded, the DEN basic service shall reconstruct the DENM before forwarding it to the ITS  
networking & transport layer. For this reconstruction, the management container, situation container, location container  
and à la carte container of the DENM shall not be modified. The ITS PDU header shall be replaced by the ITS PDU  
header constructed by the forwarding ITS-S

Note: Especially for DENMs with an eventHistory DF, it is recommended to buffer the original DENM’s destination area for possible reuse in KAF forwarding.