How to Progress with MBT Standardization

Ina Schieferdecker 12 April 2011

In consultation with Microsoft Research, Seattle, US Nico Kicillof and Wolfgang Grieskamp



- 1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
- 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
- 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
- 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools

- 1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
- 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
- 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
- 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- A close relation between technology and methodology is considered to be needed
  - Good example: ISO/ITU/ETSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework
  - Suboptimal example: BCS Software Testing Methodology
- Methodology without technology/technologies will allow rather generic statements only
  - Proposal to do technology first in close relation to proposal 2

- 1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
- 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
- 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
- 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- This is key to progress MBT beyond the current status although agreement on a common set of concepts, their definition and presentation can be difficult to reach
- "Reference format" would be a better word as it is less about model exchange but rather about comparing MBT methods, approaches or tools
- References how this can be done include
  - OMG UML Testing Profile and its metamodel
  - Microsoft open protocol initiative and its Protocol Object Model
  - Microsoft Abstract State Machines

- 1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
- 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
- 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
- 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- Coverage criteria require the concepts with respect to which they are defined and can best be defined wrt, the reference format



- 1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
- 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
- 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
- 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- From ETSI perspective, the target format for MBT tools should be TTCN-3, potentially supported by another presentation format for MBT
- Including an approach to support traceability by e.g. making use of dedicated TTCN-3 documentation tags
- Including an approach to support traceability in the MBT reference format

#### Contact

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ina Schieferdecker

Phone: +49 30 34 63 7241

Mobile: +49 175 260 30 21

Email: ina.schieferdecker@

fokus.fraunhofer.de

#### **FOKUS**

Fraunhofer Institute for Open Communication Systems FOKUS Kaiserin-Augusta-Allee 31 10589 Berlin, Germany

Tel: +49 (30) 34 63 - 7000 Fax: +49 (30) 34 63 - 8000

Web: www.fokus.fraunhofer.de