

How to Progress with MBT Standardization

Ina Schieferdecker

12 April 2011

In consultation with Microsoft Research, Seattle, US
Nico Kicillof and Wolfgang Grieskamp



Proposals by Stephan Schulz

1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools



Proposals by Stephan Schulz

1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- A close relation between technology and methodology is considered to be needed
 - Good example: ISO/ITU/ETSI Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework
 - Suboptimal example: BCS Software Testing Methodology
 - Methodology without technology/technologies will allow rather generic statements only
 - Proposal to do technology first – in close relation to proposal 2



Proposals by Stephan Schulz

1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- This is key to progress MBT beyond the current status although agreement on a common set of concepts, their definition and presentation can be difficult to reach
 - “Reference format” would be a better word as it is less about model exchange but rather about comparing MBT methods, approaches or tools
 - References how this can be done include
 - OMG UML Testing Profile and its metamodel
 - Microsoft open protocol initiative and its Protocol Object Model
 - Microsoft Action Machines



Proposals by Stephan Schulz

1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- Coverage criteria require the concepts with respect to which they are defined and can best be defined wrt. the reference format



Proposals by Stephan Schulz

1. A methodology coupled to case studies with ETSI TCs
 2. An exchange format for models used for test generation
 3. A standard on test selection or essentially requirements for coverage criteria
 4. A technical specification on the output of tests expected from MBT tools
- From ETSI perspective, the target format for MBT tools should be TTCN-3, potentially supported by another presentation format for MBT
 - Including an approach to support traceability by e.g. making use of dedicated TTCN-3 documentation tags
 - Including an approach to support traceability in the MBT reference format



Contact

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ina Schieferdecker

Phone: +49 30 34 63 7241

Mobile: +49 175 260 30 21

Email: ina.schieferdecker@fokus.fraunhofer.de

FOKUS

Fraunhofer Institute for Open
Communication Systems FOKUS

Kaiserin-Augusta-Allee 31
10589 Berlin, Germany

Tel: +49 (30) 34 63 – 7000

Fax: +49 (30) 34 63 – 8000

Web: www.fokus.fraunhofer.de

