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Agenda

## Finalization of UCAAT TDL slot planning

* + Presentation
		- Philip as moderator and (up to) 6 short position statements
		- Must use UCAAT template
		- May use company logo
	+ Demo Titles (final versions of demo titles **in plenary**)
		- STF demo (CEA): TBD
		- Metacase: TDL modeling and code generation support in MetaEdit+
		- Elvior: Still to be decided
		- STF demo (Sirus viewer): TBD
		- UofG (xtext editor): TBD
		- UO: still not clear if will attend
	+ Flyer
		- validate flyer > Philip to send out; final comments and approval **in plenary**
	+ Poster
		- Image needed from Philip
	+ Logo
		- Not recommended to use logo since there is a 50% chance of infringing on others
		- Logo needs to be removed from flyer and website or any other promotional material
		- Acronym usage is OK but must be defined/spelled out first.
	+ Demos
		- 2 tables with 3 screens
		- demo titles on PPT (UCAAT template)

## Review of STF status regarding technical and implementation work

* + Status of MM update (Part 1) in regards to current implementation unclear (needs to be checked in TDL session) – feedback from MFW (minimize stereotypes) has been taken into account
	+ Idea to distribute UML profile via the Eclipse UML profile repository
		- wait with submission until it is final from the STF and MTS point of view (explicit ok from SC required)
		- make sure reference to standard is included in UML profile definition
	+ Have a discussion **in the plenary** on update of the AnyOrOmit update
	+ Request from M2M to have slightly adjusted to
		- Define compliance levels?
		- Should instead of must?
		- Make graphical representation section informal?
		- Consensus that following of layout is not required **– decision in plenary**
	+ Schedule online GTM after Nov 15 (doodle)
		- Call for contributions for concrete vision for next phases
		- Agree on common multi phase structuring
		- reflection on UCAAT feedback from demos etc
		- Appoint people to drive the ToR for 2nd alloc 2016

## Demos

* + UML Profile Papyrus
		- Test configuration based on Composite diagram
		- Data based on Class diagram
		- Test description based on Sequence diagram
		- Export to UML profile XMI (more work needed to get TDI XMI)
		- Example will be Sherpa (cyber physical system)
		- UCAAT TODO: Tab for TDL in editor/customized properties view
		- UCAAT TODO: Create shape for elements that do not have a shape in UML (custom shape)
		- UCAAT TODO: Title and abstract
	+ Sirius editor
		- Structure and Behavior diagram
		- Mainly viewer – but properties can be technically edited.
		Model can be edited via outline view
		- Supports viewing of standard XMI
		- Layout for behavior in place
		- UCAAT: TODO refine symbol layout
		- UCAAT TODO: Basic layout (for structure)
		- TODO: Diagram creation
		- Standard examples (3GPP, IMS, DNS)

## AOB