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# Meeting wrap up

## Approvals

Summary of approval during MTS#67

### **MTS Official Appointment**

### Dirk Tepelmann, Testing Technologies has been appointed MTS Chairman for a period of 2 years

### **Liaison Statements OUT :** LS Out to TC Cyber: [MTS(16)067025](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067025_TC_MTS_Update_for_TC_Cyber.pdf)

## STF Reports STF 491 Final Report: [MTS(16)067014](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067014_STF491_Final_report.docx)

STF 492 Progress Report Milestone C: [MTS(16)067004](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067004_STF_492_Progress_Report_Milestone_C.docx)

* **MTS Deliverables**

[RES/MTS-203119-1v1.2.1 (ES 203 119-1) Test Description Language Meta-Model and Semantics](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43327) (Stable Draft)

[DES/MTS-203119-2 (ES 203 119-2) Test Description Language Graphical Syntax](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43329)

(Early Draft)

[DES/MTS-203119-3 (ES 203 119-3) Test Description Language Exchange Format](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43330)   
(Stable Draft)

[DES/MTS-203119-4 Advanced Test Objective Specification with TDL](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=44176&curItemNr=1&totalNrItems=1&optDisplay=10&titleType=all&qSORT=HIGHVERSION&qETSI_ALL=&SearchPage=TRUE&qWKI_REFERENCE=DES%2FMTS-203119-4&qINCLUDE_SUB_TB=True&qINCLUDE_MOVED_ON=&qSTOP_FLG=N&qK)(Early Draft)

[DTR/MTS-203119REFv1.1.1 (TR)](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)000005_TDL_TR_v1_1_1_Stable_Draft.zip)  
(Stable Draft)

**Final drafts**

RES/MTS-201873-1 T3ed481: [MTS (16)067012](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067012_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-1_T3ed481.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-4 T3 ed451 OS: [MTS (16)067011](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067011_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-4_T3_ed451_OS.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-6 T3ed481TCI: [MTS (16)067010](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067010_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-6_T3ed481TCI.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-9 ed471XSD: [MTS (16)067003](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067003_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-9_ed471XSD.zip)

## Actions list

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Action Number** | **Action** | **Status** |
| **AP(67)01** | **Em CT**: Update ETSI WP with theses update. | **Completed** |
| **AP(67)02** | **Em CT**: Update WPM with new Approval date of the EG 203 250. | **Completed** |
| **AP(67)03** | **Ian Bryant** to inform ISO about MTS work. |  |
| **AP(67)04** | **Juergen Grossman** to check in which ITU groups the possibility to publish SIG deliverables could fit and inform MTS |  |
| **AP(67)05** | **Milan Zoric**: Check if possible to organise a webinar for CTI staff so they get a good understanding of the Methodology Security testing and can spread it among the different TBs they are involved in. This could also apply to other topic than security testing. Milan Zoric provide feedback to MTS from CTI before next meeting. |  |
| **AP(67)06** | **Em CT** to send the LS to TC Cyber. | **Completed** |
| **AP(67)07** | **Em CT** to check if a copyright header should be added in files attached to an ETSI Deliverable. |  |
| **AP(67)08** | **Bogdan Stanca** to prepare the STF Conformance ToR by end of March, to be approved by TC MTS by remote Consensus before to be submitted to the ETSI board. |  |
| **AP(67)09** | **Bogdan Stanca** to liaise with the ETSI Edithelp team to ensure the deliverable will be in good quality, and check if some automatic generated parts could be deliver as an attachment. |  |
| **AP(67)10** | **Miguel Ortega,** share a doodle and schedule a conference call for the TTCN-3 Syllabus | **Completed** |
| **AP(67)11** | **Gyorgy Réthy** schedule a conference call for JSON WI |  |
| **AP(67)012** | **UCAAT SC** to inform UCAAT 2016 Host about MTS decision. | **Completed** |
| **AP(67)013** | **UCAAT SC** to discuss with ETSI event team on a possible new organisational model for UCAAT |  |
| **AP(67)014** | **EmCT** to share a doodle and schedule the next SC TDL Conference call |  |
| **AP(67)015** | **Andreas Ulrich**: Establish TWT team with up to 5 members |  |
| **AP(67)016** | **Andreas Ulrich**: draft the template email for new comer interested in TDL |  |
| **AP(67)017** | **EmCT:** add Dirk Tepelmann to TDL SC mailing list |  |
| **AP(67)018** | **Anthony Wiles** to organize a conference call with MTS Officials to draft a list of actions and services MTS will need from CTI. |  |
| **AP(67)019** | **Philip Makedonski** prepare draft TDL STf ToR for second allocation. |  |

## Calendar of future meetings & Events

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Event** | **Date** | **Venue - [host]** | **Status** |
|  | **TDL SC** | 11 May am | Budapest | Confirmed |
|  | **SIG** | 11 May am | Budapest | Confirmed |
|  | **MTS#68** | 11/12 May 2016 | Budapest | Confirmed |
|  | **TDL SC** | 28 Sept (am) | Tallin | Confirmed |
|  | **SIG** | 28 Sept (am) | Tallin | Confirmed |
|  | **MTS#69** | 28(pm) -29 Sep 2016 | Tallin | Confirmed |
|  | **UCAAT 2016** | 26-28 October 2016 | Budapest | Confirmed |

Dirk Tepelmann, MTS Chairman, reminded that remote participation is allowed to facilitate participation, but that face to face presence to meetings are preferred. He hopes in future they will be more people attending face to face.

For the number of meeting per year, he proposed to keep the 3 meetings per year.

January Meeting is necessary to approve the work done in the previous year and to plan work for the upcoming year.

May Meeting is a good occasion to see how work has started especially in STFs and if there is a need for a second allocation. September Meeting is necessary to review prior to the approval.

MTS member are invited to host MTS meetings from time to time.

# Opening

## Introduction & welcome, Local arrangements, IPR call [Tepelmann, Hogrefe, Chaulot-Talmon]

**Call for IPRs** (clause 4.1 of the ETSI IPR Policy, Annex 6 of the Rules of Procedure):

"The attention of the members of this Technical Body is drawn to the fact that ETSI Members shall use reasonable endeavours to inform ETSI of Essential IPRs in a timely fashion. This covers the obligation to notify its own IPRs but also other companies’ IPRs.

The members take note that they are hereby invited:

-to investigate in their company whether their company does own IPRs which are, or are likely to become essential in respect of the work of the Technical Body,

-to notify to the Chairman or to the ETSI Director-General all potential IPRs that their company may own, by means of  
 the [IPR Information Statement and the Licensing Declaration forms](http://www.etsi.org/legal/IPR-Forms) that they can obtain from the secretariat"

Members are encouraged to make general IPR undertakings/declarations that they will make licenses available for all their IPRs under FRAND terms and conditions related to a specific standardization area and then, as soon as feasible, provide (or refine) detailed disclosures.

***"The attention of all participants to the meeting was drawn to the fact that ETSI activities are subject to all applicable antitrust and competition laws and that compliance with said laws is therefore required by any participant of the meeting, including the Chairman and Vice-Chairmen."***

## MTS Official position appointment [Chaulot-Talmon]

Emmanuelle Chaulot-Talmon, ETSI, mention that following the call for candidates for chairmanship, one candidature has been received, from Dirk Tepelmann, Testing Technologies.  
By acclamation Dirk Tepelmann is appointed MTS Chairman.

Dirk Tepelmann thanks all participants who congratulated him and state this is a new interesting position, he does expect a transition period. A great job was achieved by Stephan Schulz and Dirk Tepelmann hope that everyone will continue to support work in MTS.

He encouraged MTS delegates to look also for future topics which may be of interest for MTS, and hope that nobody will be too shy to propose new piece of work. He will ensure his best to promote and developed MTS work, but invite all MTS members to proceed in order to reach a wider audience.

A meeting with CTI will be soon organise to optimise the process of bringing the work of MTS to ETSI Technical Committees and to collect ideas from them.

He has confidence in a successful future for TC MTS.

## Approval of agenda, allocation of contributions to Agenda Items [Chaulot-Talmon]

Topics: review agenda content, allocation of contribution to agenda items, agenda items time scheduling.

Related Contributions:   
Following some timing alignment the agenda is **APPROVED**.

## Work Programme status [Chaulot-Talmon]

Topics: status of work programme, review/update WI schedules (need rapporteur feedback), progress since previous meeting (publications, AbC…), review of meeting goals (expected final drafts for approval).

Emmanuelle Chaulot-Talmon presented an update of current MTS WI open.

The TTCN-3 core and extensions which were created by anticipation to be linked with the STF ToR in October and have not been modified in 2015 as no CR received will be stopped.  
**AP(67)01: EmCT: Update ETSI WP with theses update.**

# Security

## Security SIG [Grossman]

Topics: Report from SIG Technical Sessions  
Related Contributions:   
SIG Report: [MTS(16)067023](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067023_Security_SIG_Report.pptx)

Security Assurance Life cycle draft: [MTS(16)067022r1](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067022r1_DEG_203250_Security_Lifecycle_v0-0-15.zip)

3 Documents have been published, and one WI remain open.

## Security Assurance life:

**Document Progress:** Design section of Life Cycle drafted, TVRA parts reduced, Aligned with TR 101583

Restructuring of document after review, Introduced “Demonstration of Fulfillment” for each Sections 6-9

Alignment of diagrams, Processing of comments from Jürgen Grossman/Milan Zoric.

**Next steps/open issues**

Amplifying guidance in Security Activities section for each of the work streams, Introducing the SFDs for each of the work streams, Final shouldification and simplification of language.

TB approval planned for May 2016. The final draft will be available one month before the meeting for review by MTS. **AP(67)02: EmCT: Update WPM with new Approval date.**

**Comments/ Questions:**

Dieter Hogrefe: How this relate to the work done in ISO?

Ian Bryant: The document refers to some of the ISO documents and is align with the ISO work.  
**AP(67)03 Ian Bryant will inform ISO about MTS work.**

For ITU, when all documents are approved they could be contributed to ITU like the TTCN-3 series.

**AP(67)04: Jorgen Grossman will check in which ITU groups this could fit and will inform MTS**

**Distribution inside ETSI:**ETSI Cyber, LS will be issues and send with the final draft when available.

Could be presented during the security week.

**Group Status:**Only 2 members left in SIG, New ideas could attract new participants.  
People from research community around IoT on Security will be approached.

Security testing on the ITS domain could also bring some new ideas. (Method for security testing for ITS could be done in MTS). If not possible SIG group may be stopped.

Dirk will appreciate if the possibility to do a presentations/workshop/webinar session with CTI can be considered.

Organise a webinar for CTI staff so they have a good understanding of the Methodology Security testing and can spread it among the different TBs they are involved in. This could apply to other topic than security testing Milan will inform the team and provide feedback to MTS.  
**AP(67)05:**  **Milan provide feedback to MTS from CTI before next meeting.**

SIG has investigate the topic of Automation of Security Testing and consider any potential new Work Items that should fall within the remit of TC MTS.

TC MTS#067 is invited to consider 5 potential new Work Items on of Automation of Security Testing (AST):

* AST#1 – Development of SFD Catalogue (deriving an SFD for each Core SFC – DEG203250 only provides a worked example)
* AST#2 – Investigation of semi-automated AST workflow Phase 1 (using example SFD as input to TPLan) and produce Report
* AST#3 – Investigation of semi-automated AST workflow Phase 2 (using TPLan converted example SFD as input to TDL) and produce Report
* AST#4 – Investigation of conversion of Requirements Statements in SFD Catalogue into Ontology
* AST#5 – Construction of Test Pattern Catalogue should AST#2 and AST#3 be successful

This proposal was very well received by MTS and it will be very good feedback for the TDL team.

It is agreed that the scope of each WI will be developed, supporting companies and rapporteur added.  
The approval of the 5 NWI will presented for approval at the next MTS meeting.

Dirk encourage SIG delegates to communicate outside MTS to gather some additional interest and supporters;

LS to TC Cyber was presented by Ian Bryant and **APPROVED**.

**AP(67)06 EmCT to send the Liaison to TC Cyber.**

# TTCN-3

## TTCN-3 base standards & extensions (STF 491) - [Rethy] STF 491: Final progress report to be approved, final report to be approved after STF deliverables are approved by MTS.

Topics: Status of drafts, Progress Report

Related Contributions:    
**STF 491 Final Report**: [MTS(16)067014](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067014_STF491_Final_report.docx)

**STF 491 Status Report Presentations**:MTS(16)067015

Related WIs contributed to MTS#67:

Following drafts are submitted for Approval:

RES/MTS-201873-1 T3ed481: [MTS (16)067012](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067012_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-1_T3ed481.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-4 T3 ed451 OS: [MTS (16)067011](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067011_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-4_T3_ed451_OS.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-6 T3ed481TCI: [MTS (16)067010](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067010_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-6_T3ed481TCI.zip)

RES/MTS-201873-9 ed471XSD: [MTS (16)067003](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS%2816%29067003_Draft_-_RES_MTS-201873-9_ed471XSD.zip)

Gyogy Rethy presented the summary report of STF 491.

Work went normal way. It started two weeks later intentionally to concentrate the work on second part of the year, as more CR are then received. 80m days and 20 voluntary days.

Thomas Urban, was not officially STF member, but participated voluntarily to resolve some CRs. Gyorgy Rethy thanks Thomas Urban for making this effort.

The language itself is still developing, the number of pages are increasing, and 3 new parts will now be developed. 94 CRs have been resolved.

ES 201 873-1, -4, -6 and-9 were reviewed. No CRs for the extensions’ this year.

In agreement with STF 160 who had urgent CR, interim versions were made available for STF160.

All performance indicators included in the ToR were fulfilled.

These 4 documents will be sent to ITU. Liaison will be done during the next meeting.

Dirk Tepelmann requested to have in next report how many new feature are introduce per the CR.

No questions or comments raised on the final deliverables available.

MTS members thanks the different companies involved who contributed to the work.

**Following Final drafts are approved by MTS**.

RES/MTS-201873-1 T3ed481

RES/MTS-201873-4 T3 ed451 OS

RES/MTS-201873-6 T3ed481TCI

RES/MTS-201873-9 ed471XSD

**STF 491 Final progress report was presented and approved by TC MTS.**

**With one modification, new features will be published on the ttcn-3.org**

Proposal from Jacob Wieland for new release:

To provide the interfaces packages in additional languages specifics files attachment.

**AP(67)07** **to EmCT to check if a copyright header should be added.**

**STFs New process**

The Collective Letter for the STF AJ went out during the meeting day.

MTS delegates discovered that it was not a Call for Experts but a Call for Expertise, a new STF process.

Alberto Berrini join the meeting to explain the process.

ETSI wish to improve the process to setting up the STFs, the objective will be to improve the quality of the ToR and get some proposal from companies applying on how they would like to proceed with the requested works;

Established some new selection principle.

The board has given the secretariat the right to do some trial on this process. A call for Tender has been issue, but this will not be applicable for standardization.

Companies are asked to send a description on how they would like to do the work and at which price, these proposals will be collected, and with the chairman a short list will be established.

Which amount of task are shared and who is selected, will be decided by the Chairman and ETSI representative. In case of issues, the ETSI director general may decide.

Dirk Tepelmann: Has this new process being approved by the ETSI Board?   
Alberto Berrini: This will be submitted to the next board, next week.

Jacob Wieland: It does not seems the comments from the board have been addressed?

Request for proposal will be an open process where ETSI ask not only for the price but solution on how the work will be done.

Alberto Berrini: The TC will have to produce for the ETSI board an evaluation up to the maximum of what is estimated.

The TC will need to evaluate in Man days and convert it to Keuros.

The STF trials was done on one Testing STFs (writing conformance testing), and one for Smartband

Gyorgy Réthy: This may not work for specific project. Not all STFs can be candidate.

CR, you cannot prevent how many CR will come, you can indicate your daily rate.

Dieter Hogrefe: TTCN 3 maintenance, we choose in purpose experts from different companies also to ensure the neutrality. The intention is not to subcontract one single company doing the work.  
If a company can push their solution into a standard, they may do a proposal to do the work for free.

MTS Chair is surprised to see that a new process is being put in place for MTS CFP without MTS being informed.

Alberto confirmed that the responsibility is with the Secretariat.

MTS chair raised that their comment done during the OCG were not taken into account.

That this is just a revamped version of the original one.

The calls have been issues without the process and information being presented and approved by the ETSI board. Participating in a pilot project should have been discussed with the TB and should have been agreed.

No information were made available to ETSI TB.

## TTCN-3 Conformance

Topics:   
Targets for 2016 for Part-1 and Part-9 [Stanka]  
Status of the proposal on Part-7 conformance testing [Kovacs]  
EmCT mentioned that the STF conformance deliverable came in a bad shape to ETSI editing team, and edithelp had to re do the editing work which were done in previous year.

The issue is that the tables are automatically generated.

One proposal will be to see with the editing team if some style could be put in place in the tool which generate the table. Another solution will be to provide the code extracted in separated files. This is the preferred solution.  
And for the next STF the leader will get in contact with the editing team to set up the new process.

ToR should be ready by end of March prepared by Bogdan and will include part 1 and part 9 of TTCN-3 deliverables. Based on the progress with JSON it will be included or not.

**AP(67)08** **to Bogdan Stanca to prepare the STF Conformance ToR by end of March, to be approved by TC MTS by remote Consensus before to be submitted to the ETSI board.**

**AP(67)09 to Bogdan Stanca to liaise with the ETSI Edithelp team to ensure the deliverable will be in good quality, and check if some automatic generated parts could be deliver as an attachment.**

## Discussion about the TTCN-3 certification [Ortega]

Status since Meeting during UCAAT.

Miguel Reina Ortega provide an update of the Syllabus, the action was to consult the TET group for the update of the syllabus. 2 /3 weeks ago Miguel shared an excel file, but no feedback received.

TET team will establish a conference call to finalise the syllabus, stable draft of the new syllabus should be presented at the next MTS meeting;

**AP(67)010 EmCT, share a doodle and schedule a conference call for the Syllabus**

What kind of certification will be put in place? Discussion will continue on the certification after the syllabus is finalised.

## TTCN-3 online course STF proposal [Rethy]

Topics: Targets for 2016 and review of the draft STF ToR

Topics moves after the syllabus has been fixed.

## TTCN-3 for WSDL [Stanca]

Bogdan informed MTS that conference calls took place, to see who would like to contribute to this WI.

It will be based on voluntarily work, a first skeleton has been prepared.

The target date is to have a final draft for January 2017.

Companies involved, Sintesio, Elvior, Testing Tech, Fokus, Ericsson.  
Same procedure will be applied, rapporteur meeting, and share the draft.

## TTCN-3 Part-11 Using JSON with TTCN-3

Related contribution: [MTS(16)067016r1](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067016r1_DES_MTS-00201873-11ed471JSONV001__ES_201_873-11_.zip)

The first draft of TTCN-3 Part-11 Using JSON with TTCN-3 is uploaded to MTS’s drafts area and also available.

Gyorgy Réthy, presented an early draft. It contains the proposed way of interworking between JSON and TTCN-3.

The proposal identified 2 main areas:

* Using TTCN-3 as JSON Schema, Testing JSON interfaces
* TTCN-3 test system want to exchange data with other part of the test system with JSON format.

Gyorgy proposed to organise conference call for Rapporteurs meeting.

The development of this new draft is not part of the Maintenance STF, but is based on voluntary contribution.

Once published, it might be considered by the maintenance STF.

Stable draft early March, and final draft will be presented at MTS#68 and approved by RC after the meeting.

**AP(67)011 Gyorgy schedule a conference call for JSON WI.**

## 

# Other ongoing work

## UCAAT 2016 [Chaulot-Talmon]

Topics: UCAAT Steering Committee, UCAAT Host package, UCAAT 2016 Status

Related contribution: [MTS(16)067018](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067018_UCAAT_Organizational_Changes_Presentation.pptx)

UCAAT Organizational Change proposal from ETSI Event team presented by Nathalie Guinet, ETSI Event.

Nathalie Guinet, ETSI Event presented a new process for handling UCAAT organization. See [MTS(16)067018](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067018_UCAAT_Organizational_Changes_Presentation.pptx)

**Comment from MTS delegates:**

MTS Chairman, Dirk Tepelmann: Thank you for hosting the UCAAT 2015, was a great organization and appreciated.

We have some concerns with the proposal, UCAAT is independent from ETSI, organized by TC MTS, and the intention was always to reach a wider audience than just ETSI community. The organization is supposed to be independent. We had our own webpage, the new webpage fully integrated in ETSI is breaking UCAAT branding. We would like to request to have it as it was before.

We have a process, a structure and an organization in place. There was no request to change that and we are a little bit surprised to discover that ETSI secretariat is making changes to this process, and taking initiatives on UCAAT without MTS being involved in discussion.

Gyorgy Rethy: If we consider the experience of the USER Conference (TTCN-3, MBT, UCAAT) 11 years of experience, everything went always very well, so why change a process which worked well for 11 years.

Dirk Tepelmann: There may be a way for improvement. And MTS will be pleased to look at various proposals from the ETSI event team proposals. But disagree to apply such a change without having discussed and reviewed carefully.

One major concern is that the Host has to cover the cost for the location, but do not get the income.

It will be harder to find small companies who expressed their wish of hosting, they may not be able to cover the cost of the location.

Andrus Lehmet, Elvior, who hosted the conference in 2012, confirmed they will not be able to take over the organization with the current proposal. This model will work mainly for big company.

Gyogy Rethy: We would like to be as independent and as open as possible to allow small companies to host the event like in the past. Our major concern is to loose the independency of the event. In previous conferences, we had host from China, India, and different technologies area and these may not be interested on an ‘ETSI’ event.

Submission, coming from other industry, from different continent, all these contributions may not be sent if UCAAT is perceived as an ETSI event.

Nathalie Guinet mentioned that this request came from the ETSI Director General, and ETSI management.

Dirk Tepelmann, MTS Chairman: MTS welcome some improvement or some organizational support from ETSI;

But mention he is a little bit confused, his understanding was that ETSI members were deciding what they want to achieve and how, now we hear that the ETSI Director is deciding without the members being involved.

Nathalie Guinet explained that the goal is to integrate UCAAT in the ETSI event list, and to support it like all other TBs event are supported.

MTS chair stress out that he is not happy to discover that ETSI staff changing process and that MTS has not agree to it. He would like ETSI support staff to propose and discuss with MTS prior to put process in place.

Proposal: Organized UCAAT 2016, like it was before and if there are some problems let see next year.

Andreas Ulrich, Siemens, The proposal seems acceptable, if compared with IEEE requirement for hosting an event.   
Dieter Hogrefe, MTS Vice Chair mention that IEEE events were much more expensive than UCAAT and that the process could not be compared.

**Decision:**   
UCAAT 2016 will follow the process in place for 11 years. The UCAAT SC will talk with the host and clarify the process for this year.

About the proposal from ETSI event team, UCAAT SC will discuss it further and will get back to the ETSI event team with their decision. Any change required more consideration and discussions are needed.

Until changes are decided, the process in place is still valid and MTS would like to see it applied, it is requested not to change the procedure significantly without any discussion.

MTS will stay in contact with the Event team to discuss further the proposal ETSI, MTS repeated that still it would like to discuss the event organization, and will be pleased to create a good proposal for the future.

The UCAAT Steering Committee, who has the overall responsibility for UCAAT and has answer positively to Nokia and Ericsson request to host UCAAT 2016 will get back to them to explain the situation.

**AP(67)012 UCAAT SC to inform UCAAT 2016 Host.**

**AP(67)013 UCAAT SC to discuss with ETSI event team on a possible new organisational model for UCAAT**

# Model Based Testing /TDL

## Status of TDL Phase 3 and implementations

* Summary of SC meetings and decisions
* Summary of TDL Launch, registered interests
* Tool prototype demos
* TDL Lifelines: [MTS(16)067017](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067017_TDL_Lifelines.ZIP)

Philip Makedonski: Next week website update in cooperation with Miguel Ortega???

TDL Steering group re-organization: New leader Andrej Pietschker

Next SG meeting: target wk 8/9, additional meeting is needed before Milestone 5  
 **AP(67)014: EmCT to share a doodle and schedule the next Sc TDL Conference call**

Remote consensus in April.

**AP(67)015 Andreas Ulrich: Establish TWT team with up to 5 members** (Andreas, Andrej, Philip, Martti)

TWT will report to MTS during MTS meetings

**Approaching new interested parties**:

New contacts: A template email will be agreed, and will be send to interested contacts.

Andreas Ulrich will draft the template email and Em CT will complete it with MTS information.

Email can be send by all MTs delegates but keeping Em CT and Dirk Tepelmann in copy for follow up.

**AP(67)016 Andreas Ulrich: draft the template email for new comer interested in TDL**

**AP(67)017: EmCT: add Dirk Tepelmann to TDL SC mailing list**

TDL website, TDL web team, can CTI support the website?

Anthony Wiles informed MTS that he cannot confirm or refuse request for CTI at the moment.

Anthony Wiles need a list of actions and services MTS will need from CTI.

A list with MTS chair and Vice Chair and CTI will be prepared during a conference call.

With this list Anthony Wiles will go to Adrian Scrase and see how the secretariat can respond to this support.

Good rationale will be required.

**AP(67)018: Anthony Wiles to organize a conference call with MTS Officials to draft a list of actions and services MTS will need from CTI.**

For the STF request, there is a need to estimate the volume of the work. The STF should make some preliminary estimations for the task describes. Priority and prices should be considered together.

Collect priority, make an agreement on what should be the order.

ToR need to be submitted end of April for the second allocation.

At the September meeting the ToR for 2017 will need to be prepare.

**AP(67)019: Philip Makedonski prepare draft TDL STf ToR for second allocation.**

## Test Description Language STF [Makedonski]

Topics: status of drafts, status of STF team, Work plan, Progress Report

Related Contributions:

[MTS(67)067004](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067004_STF_492_Progress_Report_Milestone_C.docx)

Progress report Milestone C was presented by Philips Makedonski.

The progress Report has been approved without comments per TC MTS. **APPROVED**

Related WIs: **APPROVED**

[RES/MTS-203119-1v1.2.1 (ES 203 119-1) Test Description Language Meta-Model and Semantics](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43327) [Makedonski]

[DES/MTS-203119-2 (ES 203 119-2) Test Description Language Graphical Syntax](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43329) [Makedonski]

[DES/MTS-203119-3 (ES 203 119-3) Test Description Language Exchange Format](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=43330) [Makedonski]

[DES/MTS-203119-4 Advanced Test Objective Specification with TDL](http://webapp.etsi.org/WorkProgram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=44176&curItemNr=1&totalNrItems=1&optDisplay=10&titleType=all&qSORT=HIGHVERSION&qETSI_ALL=&SearchPage=TRUE&qWKI_REFERENCE=DES%2FMTS-203119-4&qINCLUDE_SUB_TB=True&qINCLUDE_MOVED_ON=&qSTOP_FLG=N&qK) [Makedonski]

## Deployment of Model-based Automated testing infrastructure in a cloud [Kuznar]

Related Contributions:

Related WIs:

Final Draft for approval: [MTS(16)067017](https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/05-CONTRIBUTIONS/2016/MTS(16)067019_Draft_-_DTR_MTS-103386__v1_1_3__TR_103_386__.zip)  
APPROVED
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